2017
NOTICE OF ANNUAL MEETING AND
PROXY STATEMENT
Dear Shareholders:

The Board of Directors and Management of AZZ Inc. cordially invite you to attend our 2017 Annual Meeting of Shareholders to be held at 10:00 a.m., local time, on Tuesday, July 11, 2017, at One Museum Place, 4th Floor, 3100 West 7th Street, Fort Worth, Texas 76107. Details regarding the business to be conducted at the Annual Meeting are more fully described in the accompanying materials.

The Notice of the 2017 Annual Meeting of Shareholders and Proxy Statement are attached. Please read them carefully. All shareholders are invited to attend the meeting. Your vote is very important to our business and to our continued future success.

Whether or not you attend the meeting, it is important that your shares be represented and voted at the meeting. If you receive a paper copy of the proxy materials, you may complete and mail the enclosed proxy card or you may use the telephone or Internet voting procedures described on the proxy card.

If you decide to attend the 2017 Annual Meeting of Shareholders, you will be able to (i) vote in person if you are a shareholder of record, or (ii) if you are a beneficial holder and have obtained a legal proxy, you may revoke your proxy and vote in person at the meeting, even if you have previously submitted your proxy. Thank you in advance for voting and for your continued support of AZZ Inc.

Sincerely,

Thomas E. Ferguson
President and Chief Executive Officer
NOTICE OF ANNUAL MEETING OF SHAREHOLDERS

To Be Held on July 11, 2017

To the Shareholders of AZZ Inc.:

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the 2017 Annual Meeting of Shareholders (the “Annual Meeting”) of AZZ Inc. (hereinafter, the “Company” or “AZZ”) will be held as follows:

TIME AND DATE
10:00 a.m., local time, on Tuesday, July 11, 2017

LOCATION
One Museum Place, 3100 West 7th Street, 4th Floor, Fort Worth, Texas 76107

PROPOSALS
I. Elect the nine director nominees named in the accompanying Proxy Statement to serve on the Company’s Board of Directors, each for a one-year term.

II. Consider and vote for an advisory approval of a non-binding resolution approving the Company’s executive compensation program.

III. Consider and vote for the ratification of the appointment of BDO USA, LLP as the Company’s independent registered public accounting firm for the fiscal year ending February 28, 2018.

IV. To transact any other business which may properly come before the Annual Meeting or any adjournment.

RECORD DATE
You can attend and vote your shares at the Annual Meeting if you were a shareholder of record of the Company’s common stock at the close of business on May 10, 2017 (the “Record Date”)

NOTICE A Notice Regarding the Availability of Proxy Materials (the “Notice”) was mailed to shareholders on or about May 24, 2017.

IMPORTANT NOTICE REGARDING THE AVAILABILITY OF PROXY MATERIALS FOR THE ANNUAL MEETING OF SHAREHOLDERS

AZZ’s Proxy Statement and Fiscal Year 2017 Annual Report are available at www.edocumentview.com/AZZ

VOTING
Your vote is very important. Even if you intend to be present at the Annual Meeting, please promptly vote in one of the following ways so that your shares may be represented and voted at the Annual Meeting:

- Call the toll-free telephone number shown in the instructions included on your Notice;
- Vote via the Internet on the website as described in the instructions included on your Notice; or
- If you receive a paper copy of the proxy materials, complete, sign, date, and return your proxy card or voting form.

By Order of the Board of Directors,

Tara D. Mackey
Chief Legal Officer and Secretary
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**PROXY STATEMENT SUMMARY**

This summary below highlights information contained elsewhere in this proxy statement ("Proxy Statement"). This summary does not contain all of the information that you should consider, and you should read the entire Proxy Statement carefully before voting. Page references are supplied to help you find additional information in this Proxy Statement.

**2017 Annual Meeting of Shareholders**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Company Proposals</th>
<th>Board Vote Recommendation</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Election of nine Directors</td>
<td>FOR each director nominee</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Approval of a non-binding advisory resolution to approve the Company's executive compensation program</td>
<td>FOR</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Ratification of BDO USA, LLP as the Company's independent registered public accounting firm for the fiscal year ending February 28, 2018</td>
<td>FOR</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**How to Vote**

You can vote by any of the following methods:

- Internet (www.envisionreports.com/ZZ) until 1:00 a.m. Eastern Time, on July 11, 2017;
- Telephone (1-800-652-8683) until 1:00 a.m. Eastern Time, on July 11, 2017;
- Completing, signing and returning your proxy or voting instruction card before July 11, 2017; or
- In person, at the Annual Meeting, if you are a registered shareholder as of the Record Date. You may deliver a completed proxy card or vote by ballot at the meeting.
Corporate Governance Highlights

- Eight out of nine director nominees are independent.
- Independent committee chairs and members.
- Commitment to continuous board refreshment and diversity.
- Separate chairman and CEO.
- Annual election of all directors.
- Regular executive sessions of independent directors.
- Majority voting for directors.
- Risk oversight by full board and committees.
- Stock ownership guidelines for directors and officers.
- Annual board and committee self-evaluations.

Director Nominees

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Director Since</th>
<th>Occupation</th>
<th>Committee Memberships</th>
<th>Other Public Company Boards</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Daniel E. Berce</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>President and Chief Executive Officer, General Motors Financial Company</td>
<td>Audit, Compensation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paul Eisman</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>Former President and Chief Executive Officer, Alon USA Energy, Inc.</td>
<td>Audit, Compensation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daniel R. Feehan</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>Chairman of the Board, FirstCash, Inc.</td>
<td>Audit, Compensation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thomas E. Ferguson</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>President and Chief Executive Officer, AZZ Inc.</td>
<td>Not Applicable</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kevern R. Joyce</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>1997</td>
<td>Independent Business Consultant and Investor</td>
<td>Compensation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Venita McCellon Allen</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>President and Chief Operating Officer, Southwestern Electric Power Company</td>
<td>Compensation, Nominating and Corporate Governance</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ed McGough</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>Senior Vice President, Global Manufacturing and Technical Operations, Alcon Laboratories, Inc.</td>
<td>Compensation, Nominating and Corporate Governance</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stephen E. Pirnat</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>Chief Executive Officer, ClearSign Combustion Corporation</td>
<td>Audit, Nominating and Corporate Governance</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steven R. Purvis</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>Trustee and Portfolio Manager, Luther King Capital Management</td>
<td>Audit, Nominating and Corporate Governance</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Named Executive Officers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Position</th>
<th>Since</th>
<th>Previous Position</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Thomas E. Ferguson</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>President and Chief Executive Officer</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>Chief Executive Officer, FlexSteel Pipeline Technologies, Inc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paul W. Fehlman</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>Vice President, Finance, Engineered Products Division, Flowserve Corporation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chris Bacius</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>Vice President, Corporate Development</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>Vice President, Mergers &amp; Acquisitions, Flowserve Corporation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tara D. Mackey</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>Chief Legal Officer and Secretary</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>Chief Legal Counsel and Corporate Secretary, First Parts, Inc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tim E. Pendley</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>Senior Vice President and Chief Operating Officer, Galvanizing</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td>Vice President Operations, Galvanizing Services</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Executive Compensation Highlights

Compensation Philosophy and Objectives

- Our key compensation objectives are to attract and retain high performance leaders, reward results, drive future strategic growth and align the long-term interests of our executives with those of our shareholders.

- We use the following principles to effect these objectives:
  - A significant portion of our executive officers’ total compensation is performance-based.
  - Performance measures are highly correlated to the creation of shareholder value.
  - We review and benchmark pay relative to the market median of our industry peer group on an annual basis.
  - Our executive compensation program is designed to encourage building long-term shareholder value and attract and retain high performance executive talent.
  - We use annual cash incentive opportunities and equity-based awards to balance the Company’s short- and long-term performance objectives.
  - Our equity awards are equally weighted between time-vested restricted stock units, which vest ratably over a three-year period, and performance share units, which require achievement of financial performance metrics over a three-year performance cycle.
  - The compensation committee engages an independent executive compensation consultant.
  - Our compensation committee conducts an annual review of all executive compensation program components to ensure alignment with our compensation objectives and the Company’s industry peers.
  - We implemented a Compensation Recovery Policy to protect the Company in the event of a financial restatement or an executive officer engages in serious misconduct.
  - We provide a limited number of employment agreements and executive perquisites.
  - We have stock ownership guidelines for directors and executive officers.
What We Don’t Do

- We do not provide tax gross ups.
- We do not recycle shares withheld for taxes.
- We do not permit pledging or hedging of Company securities.
- We do not pay dividends or dividend equivalents on unearned RSUs or PSUs until they vest.
- We do not reprice underwater equity awards.
- We do not have pension or supplemental executive retirement plans.

Fiscal Year 2017 Executive Compensation Program Elements

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Compensation Element</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cash</td>
<td>Base Salary</td>
<td>Fixed cash compensation based on responsibilities of the position. Reviewed annually for potential adjustments based on factors such as market levels, individual performance and scope of responsibilities.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Annual Incentive Opportunity</td>
<td>Annual cash incentive for achievement of specific annual financial operating results.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long-Term Incentives</td>
<td>Restricted Stock Units</td>
<td>Vest ratably over a three-year period. Settled in shares of AZZ common stock. Dividend equivalent rights with respect to dividends received during the vesting period.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Performance Share Units</td>
<td>Three-year pre-determined financial performance metric and a potential total shareholder return (“TSR”). Settled in shares of AZZ common stock. Dividend equivalents accrue during the vesting period.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retirement</td>
<td>401(k) Plan</td>
<td>Qualified 401(k) plan available to all U.S. employees. The Company matches 100% of the first 1% and 50% of contributions between 2% and 6%.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>Employment Agreements</td>
<td>Sets standard benefits for Messrs. Ferguson and Fehlman in the event of severance.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Change-in-Control Agreements</td>
<td>Sets standard benefits for senior executives upon a change-in-control.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Other Benefits</td>
<td>Executive supplemental disability insurance and annual physical exam.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Fiscal Year 2017 Total Direct Compensation Mix

#### FY2017 Chief Executive Officer Mix of Total Direct Compensation

- **36% Base Salary**
- **19% Short Term Incentive**
- **45% Long Term Incentive**

#### FY2017 Average NEO Mix of Total Direct Compensation

- **45% Base Salary**
- **18% Short Term Incentive**
- **37% Long Term Incentive**

### Fiscal Year 2017 Executive Compensation Summary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name and Principal Position</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Salary ($)</th>
<th>Bonus ($)</th>
<th>Stock Awards/RSUs ($)</th>
<th>Option/SARs Awards ($)</th>
<th>Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation ($)</th>
<th>Change in Pension Value and Nonqualified Deferred Compensation Earnings ($)</th>
<th>All Other Compensation ($)</th>
<th>Total ($)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Thomas E. Ferguson</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>$724,500</td>
<td>(−)</td>
<td>(−)</td>
<td>(−)</td>
<td>(−)</td>
<td>(−)</td>
<td>(−)</td>
<td>$3,684,992</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>President &amp; Chief Executive Officer</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paul W. Fehlman</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>$365,472</td>
<td>(−)</td>
<td>(−)</td>
<td>(−)</td>
<td>(−)</td>
<td>(−)</td>
<td>(−)</td>
<td>$777,801</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senior Vice President &amp; Chief Financial Officer</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chris Bacius</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>$280,978</td>
<td>(−)</td>
<td>(−)</td>
<td>(−)</td>
<td>(−)</td>
<td>(−)</td>
<td>(−)</td>
<td>$516,306</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vice President, Corporate Development</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tara D. Mackey</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>$330,000</td>
<td>(−)</td>
<td>(−)</td>
<td>(−)</td>
<td>(−)</td>
<td>(−)</td>
<td>(−)</td>
<td>$577,294</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chief Legal Officer &amp; Secretary</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tim E. Pendley</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>$373,212</td>
<td>(−)</td>
<td>(−)</td>
<td>(−)</td>
<td>(−)</td>
<td>(−)</td>
<td>(−)</td>
<td>$732,801</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senior Vice President &amp; Chief Operating Officer, Galvanizing</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes:
- The compensation mix figures are approximate and rounded to the nearest whole percentage.
- The compensation mix figures are based on the distribution of the total direct compensation for the respective positions and years.
PROXY STATEMENT
FOR
2017 ANNUAL MEETING OF SHAREHOLDERS

To Be Held on July 11, 2017

The board of directors of AZZ Inc. (the “Company” or “AZZ”) is soliciting proxies for the 2017 Annual Meeting of Shareholders (the “Annual Meeting”). You are receiving this Proxy Statement because you own shares of AZZ common stock that entitle you to vote at the Annual Meeting. This Proxy Statement contains information on Annual Meeting matters to assist you in voting your shares.

QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS
Proxy Materials and Voting Information

Why am I receiving these materials?

AZZ has made these materials available to you on the Internet or, upon your request, has delivered printed versions of these materials to you by mail in connection with the Company’s solicitation of proxies for use at the Annual Meeting to be held on Tuesday, July 11, 2017, at 10:00 a.m. local time at One Museum Place, 4th Floor, 3100 West 7th Street, Fort Worth, Texas 76107, and at any postponement(s) or adjournment(s) thereof. These materials were first sent or made available to shareholders on or about May 24, 2017. You are invited to attend the Annual Meeting and are requested to vote on the proposals described in this Proxy Statement.

What is included in these materials?

These materials include:
• This Proxy Statement for the Annual Meeting; and
• The Company’s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended February 28, 2017, as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the “SEC”) on April 20, 2017 (the “Annual Report”).

If you requested printed versions by mail, these materials also include the proxy card and voting instructions.

What items will be voted on at the Annual Meeting?

You will be voting on the following:
• The election of nine nominees to the Company’s board of directors named in this Proxy Statement, each for a term of one year (Proposal 1);
• A non-binding advisory resolution to approve AZZ’s executive compensation program (Proposal 2); and
• Ratification of the appointment of BDO USA, LLP to serve as AZZ’s independent registered public accounting firm for the fiscal year ending February 28, 2018 (Proposal 3).

We also will consider any other business that may properly come before the meeting.
What are the Board of Directors’ voting recommendations?

- “FOR” the election of the nine nominees to serve on the Board for a one year term (Proposal 1);
- “FOR” the approval of AZZ’s executive compensation program (Proposal 2); and
- “FOR” the ratification of the appointment of BDO USA, LLP to serve as the Company’s independent registered public accounting firm for the fiscal year ending February 28, 2018 (Proposal 3).

Why did I receive a one-page notice in the mail regarding the Internet availability of proxy materials instead of a full set of proxy materials?

Pursuant to rules adopted by the SEC, AZZ uses the Internet as the primary means of furnishing proxy materials to shareholders. Accordingly, the Company has sent a Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials (the "Notice") to the Company’s shareholders. All shareholders will have the ability to access the proxy materials on the website referred to in the Notice or request a printed set of the proxy materials. Instructions on how to access the proxy materials on the Internet or to request a printed copy are detailed in the Notice. In addition, shareholders are always able to request printed proxy materials by mail or electronically by emailing www.azz.com/investor-relations. The Company encourages shareholders to take advantage of the availability of the proxy materials on the Internet to help reduce the environmental impact of its annual meetings and the cost to the Company associated with printing and mailing hard copies of proxy materials.

How can I get electronic access to the proxy materials?

The Notice will provide you with instructions regarding how to use the Internet to:

• View the Company’s proxy materials for the Annual Meeting; and

The Company’s proxy materials are also available at www.azz.com/investor-relations. This website address is included for reference only. The information contained on the Company’s website is not incorporated by reference into this Proxy Statement.

Choosing to receive future proxy materials by email will reduce the impact of the Company’s annual meetings on the environment and save the Company the cost of printing and mailing documents to you. If you choose to receive future proxy materials by email, you will receive an email message next year with instructions containing a link to those materials and a link to the proxy voting website. Your election to receive proxy materials by email will remain in effect until you terminate it.

Who may vote at the Annual Meeting?

Each share of the Company’s common stock has one vote on each matter. Only shareholders of record as of the close of business on May 10, 2017, the Record Date, are entitled to receive notice of, to attend, and to vote at the Annual Meeting. In addition to shareholders of record of the Company’s common stock, beneficial owners of shares of the Company’s common stock were issued and outstanding.
What is the difference between a shareholder of record and a beneficial owner of shares held in street name?

Shareholder of Record. If your shares are registered directly in your name with the Company’s transfer agent, Computershare Investor Services, LLC (“Computershare”), you are considered the shareholder of record with respect to those shares, and the Notice was delivered directly to you by the Company.

Beneficial Owner of Shares Held in Street Name. If your shares are held in an account at a brokerage firm, bank, broker-dealer, or other similar organization, then you are the “beneficial owner” of shares held in “street name,” and a Notice was forwarded to you by that organization. As a beneficial owner, you have the right to instruct your broker, bank, trustee, or nominee how to vote your shares.

If I am a shareholder of record of the Company’s shares, how do I vote?

If you are a shareholder of record, there are four ways to vote:

- **In person.** You may vote in person at the Annual Meeting by requesting a ballot when you arrive. You must bring valid picture identification such as a driver’s license or passport and may be requested to provide proof of stock ownership as of the Record Date.

- **Via the Internet.** You may vote by proxy via the Internet by following the instructions provided in the Notice.

- **By Telephone.** If you request printed copies of the proxy materials by mail, you may vote by proxy by calling the toll free number found on the proxy card.

- **By Mail.** If you request printed copies of the proxy materials by mail, you will receive a proxy card and you may vote by proxy by filling out the proxy card and returning it in the envelope provided.

If I am a beneficial owner of shares held in street name, how do I vote?

If you are a beneficial owner of shares held in street name, there are four ways to vote:

- **In person.** If you are a beneficial owner of shares held in street name and wish to vote in person at the Annual Meeting, you must obtain a “legal proxy” from the organization that holds your shares. A legal proxy is a written document that will authorize you to vote your shares held in street name at the Annual Meeting. Please contact the organization that holds your shares for instructions regarding obtaining a legal proxy.

  You must bring a copy of the legal proxy to the Annual Meeting and ask for a ballot when you arrive. You must also bring valid picture identification such as a driver’s license or passport. In order for your vote to be counted, you must provide both the copy of the legal proxy and your completed ballot to the inspector of election.

- **Via the Internet.** You may vote by proxy via the Internet by visiting www.envisionreports.com/AZZ and entering the control number found in your Notice. The availability of Internet voting may depend on the voting process of the organization that holds your shares.

- **By Telephone.** If you request printed copies of the proxy materials by mail, you may vote by proxy by calling the toll free number found on the voting instruction form. The availability of telephone voting may depend on the voting process of the organization that holds your shares.

- **By Mail.** If you request printed copies of the proxy materials by mail, you will receive a voting instruction form and you may vote by proxy by filling out the voting instruction form and returning it in the envelope provided.
What is the quorum requirement for the Annual Meeting?

A majority of the shares entitled to vote at the Annual Meeting must be present at the Annual Meeting in person or by proxy for the transaction of business. This is called a quorum. Your shares will be counted for purposes of determining if there is a quorum if you:

• Are entitled to vote and you are present in person at the Annual Meeting; or
• Have properly voted by proxy on the Internet, by telephone or by submitting a proxy card by mail.

If a quorum is not present, we may propose to adjourn the Annual Meeting to solicit additional proxies.

How are proxies voted?

All shares represented by valid proxies received prior to the taking of the vote at the Annual Meeting will be voted and, where a shareholder specifies by means of the proxy a choice with respect to any matter to be acted upon, the shares will be voted in accordance with the shareholder’s instructions.

What happens if I do not give specific voting instructions?

Shareholders of Record. If you are a shareholder of record and you:

• Indicate when voting on the Internet or by telephone that you wish to vote as recommended by AZZ’s board of directors; or
• Sign and return a proxy card without giving specific voting instructions,
then the persons named as proxy holders, Thomas E. Ferguson and Paul W. Fehlman, will vote your shares in the manner recommended by AZZ’s board of directors on all matters presented in this Proxy Statement and as the proxy holders may determine in their discretion with respect to any other matters properly presented for a vote at the Annual Meeting.

Beneficial Owners of Shares Held in Street Name. If you are a beneficial owner of shares held in street name and do not provide the organization that holds your shares with specific voting instructions then, under applicable rules, the organization that holds your shares may generally vote on routine matters but cannot vote on non-routine matters. If the organization that holds your shares does not receive instructions from you on how to vote your shares on a non-routine matter, that organization will inform the inspector of election that it does not have the authority to vote on this matter with respect to your shares. This is generally referred to as a “broker non-vote.”

Which ballot measures are considered “routine” or “non-routine”?

The election of directors (Proposal 1) and the non-binding advisory resolution approving the Company’s executive compensation program (Proposal 2); are all considered non-routine matters under applicable rules. A broker or other nominee cannot vote shares without instructions on non-routine matters, and therefore broker non-votes may exist in connection with Proposals 1 and 2.

The proposal for the ratification of the appointment of BDO USA, LLP as the Company’s independent registered public accounting firm for the fiscal year ending February 28, 2018 (Proposal 3) is considered a routine matter under applicable rules. A broker or other nominee may generally vote on routine matters, and therefore no broker non-votes are expected in connection with Proposal 3.
What is the voting requirement to approve each of the proposals?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Proposal</th>
<th>Voting Requirement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Election of nine director nominees named in this Proxy Statement, each for a one year term.</td>
<td>A majority of votes cast means that the number of shares voted “FOR” a director must exceed the number of votes cast “AGAINST” that director. A majority of votes cast means that the number of shares voted “FOR” a director must exceed the number of votes cast “AGAINST” that director. Any director not elected by a majority is expected to tender to the Board his or her resignation promptly following the certification of election results pursuant to the Company’s Bylaws. The nominating and corporate governance committee will make a recommendation to the board on whether to accept or reject such resignation. The board will act on such recommendation and publicly disclose its decision within 90 days from the date of the certification of the election results.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Approve, on a non-binding advisory basis, the resolution approving the Company’s executive compensation program.</td>
<td>To be approved, this proposal must be approved by a majority of the votes cast by the shareholders present in person or represented by proxy, meaning that the votes cast by the shareholders “FOR” the approval of the proposal must exceed the number of votes cast “AGAINST” the approval of the proposal.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Ratification of the appointment of BDO USA, LLP as the Company’s independent registered public accounting firm for fiscal year 2018.</td>
<td>To be approved, this proposal must be approved by a majority of the votes cast by the shareholders present in person or represented by proxy, meaning that the votes cast by the shareholders “FOR” the approval of the proposal must exceed the number of votes cast “AGAINST” the approval of the proposal.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

How are broker non-votes and abstentions treated?

Broker non-votes and abstentions are counted for purposes of determining whether a quorum is present. Only “FOR” and “AGAINST” votes are counted for purposes of determining the votes received in connection with each proposal.

With respect to the election of directors (Proposal 1), under the majority voting policy adopted by the Company in 2014, broker non-votes and abstentions, which have the same effect as “AGAINST” votes, could cause a nominee to fail to obtain the required affirmative votes of (i) a majority of the shares present or represented by proxy and voting at the Annual Meeting and (ii) a majority of the shares required to constitute a quorum.

With respect to each of Proposals 2 and 3, broker non-votes and abstentions could prevent the proposal from receiving the required affirmative votes of (i) a majority of the shares present or represented by proxy and voting at the Annual Meeting and (ii) a majority of the shares required to constitute the quorum.

In order to minimize the number of broker non-votes, the Company strongly encourages you to vote or to provide voting instructions with respect to each proposal to the organization that holds your shares by carefully following the instructions provided in the Notice.

Can I change my vote after I have voted?

You may revoke your proxy and change your vote at any time before the taking of the vote at the Annual Meeting. Prior to the applicable cutoff time, you may change your vote using the Internet or telephone methods described above, in which case only your latest Internet or telephone proxy submitted prior to the Annual Meeting will be counted. You may also revoke your proxy and change your vote by signing and returning a new proxy card dated as of a later date, or by attending the Annual Meeting and voting in person. However, your attendance at the Annual Meeting will not automatically revoke your proxy unless you properly vote at the Annual Meeting or specifically request that your prior proxy be revoked by delivering a written notice of revocation to the Company’s...
Who will serve as the inspector of election?

A representative from Computershare Investor Services, LLC will serve as the inspector of election.

Is my vote confidential?

Proxy instructions, ballots and voting tabulations that identify individual shareholders are handled in a manner that protects your voting privacy. Your vote will not be disclosed either within the Company or to third parties, except:

• As necessary to meet applicable legal requirements;
• To allow for the tabulation and certification of votes; and
• To facilitate a successful proxy solicitation.

Occasionally, shareholders provide written comments on their proxy cards, which may be forwarded to AZZ’s management and the board of directors.

Where can I find the voting results of the Annual Meeting?

Preliminary voting results will be announced at the Annual Meeting. Final voting results will be tallied by the inspector of election after the taking of the vote at the Annual Meeting. The Company will disclose the final voting results in a Current Report on Form 8-K, which the Company is required to file with the SEC within four business days following the Annual Meeting.

How can I attend the Annual Meeting?

Admission to the Annual Meeting is limited to AZZ shareholders or their proxy holders. In order to be admitted to the meeting, each shareholder must present proof of stock ownership and a valid government-issued photo identification, such as a driver’s license or passport. Proof of stock ownership may consist of the proxy card or if shares are held in the name of a broker, bank or other nominee, an account statement or letter from the nominee indicating that you beneficially owned shares of AZZ common stock at the close of business on May 10, 2017, the Record Date for the Annual Meeting.

What is the deadline to propose actions for consideration or to nominate individuals to serve as directors at the 2018 annual meeting of shareholders?

Requirements for Shareholder Proposals to Be Considered for Inclusion in the Company’s Proxy Materials. Proposals that a shareholder intends to present at the 2018 Annual Meeting of Shareholders and wishes to be considered for inclusion in the Company’s Proxy Statement relating to the 2018 Annual Meeting of Shareholders must be received no later than January 24, 2018. All proposals must comply with Rule 14a-8 under the Exchange Act, which lists the requirements for the inclusion of shareholder proposals in company-sponsored proxy materials. Shareholder proposals must be delivered to the Company’s Secretary by mail at One Museum Place, 3100 West 7th Street, Suite 500, Fort Worth, Texas 76107.

Requirements for Other Shareholder Proposals to Be Brought Before the 2018 Annual Meeting of Shareholders and Director Nominations. Notice of any proposal that a shareholder intends to present at the 2018 Annual Meeting of Shareholders, but does not intend to have included in the Company’s Proxy Statement for the 2018 Annual Meeting of Shareholders, as well as any director nominations, must be delivered to the Company’s Secretary by
mail at One Museum Place, 3100 W West 7th Street, Suite 500, Fort Worth, Texas 76107, not earlier than the close of business on March 12, 2018 and not later than the close of business on April 11, 2018. The notice must be submitted by a shareholder of record and must set forth the information required by the Company’s Bylaws with respect to each director nomination or other proposal that the shareholder intends to present at the 2018 Annual Meeting of Shareholders. If you are a beneficial owner of shares held in street name, you can contact the organization that holds your shares for information regarding how to register your shares directly in your name as a shareholder of record.
PROPOSAL 1
ELECTION OF DIRECTORS

Our bylaws, as amended, provide that the board of directors will consist of up to 12 members, each serving a one year term. Dr. Downey has advised the board of directors of his intention to retire as a director of the Company and not stand for re-election at the Annual Meeting. Accordingly, we currently have nine directors whom are standing for re-election at the Annual Meeting. Our Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee has determined that our current board of directors' composition of nine directors, is sufficient from a governance perspective. Proxies cannot be voted for a greater number of nominees than the number of nominees named herein.

Our bylaws require that, in an uncontested election, each director will be elected by a majority of the votes cast. If a nominee in an uncontested election does not receive a majority of the votes cast, he or she is required to promptly tender a resignation to the board of directors that is subject to acceptance or rejection by the board of directors within 90 days from the date of the certification of the election results. In the event an election of directors is contested, the voting standard will be a plurality of votes cast.

The board of directors has nominated the following directors noted below, for election to a one year term expiring at the 2018 Annual Meeting of Shareholders. All of the nominees currently serve as members of the board of directors with a term expiring at this year’s Annual Meeting. Because these elections are uncontested, a nominee for director must receive a majority of the votes properly cast at the meeting in person or by proxy in order to be elected. Therefore, a nominee who receives more than 50% of votes “FOR” election (measured with respect to the total votes cast with respect to such nominee) will be elected, provided that a quorum is present at the meeting. Each of the director nominees has consented to serve if elected. If for any unforeseen reason a nominee would be unable to serve if elected, the beneficial owners of AZZ’s shares as of the Record Date of the Annual Meeting may exercise their discretion to vote for a substitute nominee selected by the board of directors. However, the board of directors has no reason to anticipate that any of the nominees will not be able to serve, if elected.

THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS UNANIMOUSLY RECOMMENDS A VOTE “FOR” THE ELECTION OF EACH OF THE NOMINEES LISTED BELOW.

Nominees:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nominee</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Director Since</th>
<th>Board Committees</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Daniel E. Berce</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>• Audit Committee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Compensation Committee (Chairman)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Daniel E. Berce has served as President and Chief Executive Officer of General Motors Financial Company, Inc. (formerly AmeriCredit Corp.) since its acquisition by General Motors Company in October 2010. Mr. Berce also served as AmeriCredit Corp.’s Chief Financial Officer from 1990 until 2003. He served as a director of AmeriCredit Corp. from 1990 to 2010. Before joining AmeriCredit Corp., Mr. Berce was a partner with Coopers & Lybrand, an accounting firm. Mr. Berce currently serves as a director of FirstCash, Inc., a publicly held international operator of retail pawn stores in the U.S. and Latin America, and Arlington Asset Investment Corp., a publicly held investment firm investing primarily in mortgage-related assets.
We believe Mr. Berce’s qualifications to serve on the board of directors include his executive level leadership experience and knowledge of corporate governance, specifically his experience as a Chief Executive Officer of a publicly held company and experience in serving as a director of multiple publicly held companies.

**PAUL EISMAN**

**Age:** 61  
**Director Since:** 2016  
**Board Committees:**  
- Audit Committee  
- Compensation Committee

Paul Eisman formerly served as the President and Chief Executive Officer of Alon USA Energy, Inc. (“Alon”) and as a director and the President and Chief Executive Officer of Alon USA Partners, LP. He has more than 30 years of refining experience and leadership expertise in refining production and retail business operations. Prior to joining Alon in 2010, Mr. Eisman served as Executive Vice President of Refining and Marketing Operations with Frontier Oil Corporation from 2006 to 2010. From 2003 to 2006, he served as Vice President of KBC Advanced Technologies, a leading consulting firm to the international refining industry. Mr. Eisman served as Senior Vice President, Planning for Valero Energy Corporation from 2001 to 2002. Mr. Eisman also served in various executive leadership roles at Diamond Shamrock Corporation from 1979 to 2001.

We believe Mr. Eisman’s qualifications to serve on the Company’s board of directors include his extensive experience in various executive leadership positions in refining production and retail business operations.

**DANIEL R. FEEHAN**

**Age:** 66  
**Director Since:** 2000  
**Board Committees:**  
- Audit Committee (Chairman)  
- Compensation Committee

Daniel R. Feehan serves as Chairman of the Board of FirstCash, Inc., a publicly held international operator of retail pawn stores in the U.S and Latin America. Previously, Mr. Feehan served as a director of Cash America International, Inc. (“Cash America”) since 1984 and was Cash America’s Executive Chairman from November 2015 until Cash America’s merger with First Cash Financial Services, Inc. (now FirstCash, Inc.) in September 2016. Prior to that, Mr. Feehan served as Chief Executive Officer of Cash America from February 2000 to October 2015 and as President from February 2000 to May 2015. From 1990 to 2000, he served as President and Chief Operating Officer of Cash America. Mr. Feehan also currently serves as a director of Enova International, Inc., a publicly held leading provider of online financial services to non-prime consumers and small businesses.

We believe Mr. Feehan’s qualifications to serve on the Company’s board of directors include his executive level leadership experience and ability to provide direction and oversight to the Company’s financial reporting and business controls, specifically his experience as a chief executive officer of a publicly held company, experience in finance, accounting, strategic planning and experience serving as a director of multiple publicly held companies.

**THOMAS E. FERGUSON**

**Age:** 60  
**Director Since:** 2013  
**Board Committees:**  
- None

Thomas E. Ferguson serves as a non-independent director and as the President and Chief Executive Officer of AZZ. Prior to joining AZZ, he was a consultant and served as interim Chief Executive Officer of FlexSteel Pipeline Technologies, Inc., a provider of pipeline technology products and services in 2013. Mr. Ferguson has also served in various executive capacities with Flowserve Corporation, a publicly held global provider of fluid motion and control products, including Senior Vice President from 2006, as President of Flow Solutions Group from 2010 to 2012, as President of Flowserve Pump Division from 2003 to 2009, as President of Flow Solutions Division from 2000 to 2002, as Vice President and General Manager of Flow Solutions Division North America from 1999 to 2000 and as Vice President of Marketing and Technology for Flow Solutions Division from 1997 to 1999. Mr. Ferguson retired from Flowserve Corporation in 2012.
We believe Mr. Ferguson’s qualifications to serve on the Company’s board of directors include his considerable global business and leadership experience serving as an executive officer of a public company, his domestic and international strategic experience both in the industries in which AZZ operates, and his track record for helping businesses achieve exponential growth, both organically and through acquisitions in the global marketplace.

**Board Committees:**
- Compensation Committee
- Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee (Chairman)

**KEVERN R. JOYCE**  
Age: 70  
Director Since: 1997  
Chairman of the Board: Since 2013  

Kevern R. Joyce formerly served as senior advisor to ZTEK Corporation, an energy technology company, from 2003 to 2006 and currently serves as a director. Mr. Joyce was President, Chief Executive Officer and Chairman of Texas New Mexico Power Company, an electric service company, from 1994 to 2001, and served as a senior advisor until 2003. Mr. Joyce is a consultant to and investor in various companies.

We believe Mr. Joyce’s qualifications to serve on the board of directors include his considerable business and leadership experience, his knowledge and experience in finance and accounting, and specifically his experience in the electrical power generation industry, where he previously served as the Chief Executive Officer of a publicly held electrical utility company.

**VENITA MCCOLLON – ALLEN**  
Age: 57  
Director Since: 2016  

Venita McCellon – Allen serves as the President and Chief Operating Officer of Southwestern Electric Power Company (“SWEPCO”), a subsidiary of American Electric Power Company, Inc. (“AEP”), a public utility holding company which engages in the generation, transmission, and distribution of electricity for sale to retail and wholesale customers, and has held such office since 2010. Previously, she served as Executive Vice President – AEP Utilities East from 2009 to 2010 and Executive Vice President – AEP Utilities West from 2006 to 2009. From 2004 to 2006, Ms. McCellon – Allen served as Senior Vice President Shared Services of AEP. From 2000 to 2004, she served as Senior Vice President – Human Resources for Baylor Health Care System, a diversified health care holding company. From 1995 to 2000, Ms. McCellon – Allen held various leadership roles at Central and South West Corp. (“CSW”), in operations, customer service, strategic planning and human resources. In her last position at CSW, she served as Senior Vice President for Corporate Development and Customer Service.

We believe Ms. McCellon – Allen’s qualifications to serve on the board of directors include her considerable business and leadership experience both within and outside of the energy industry.

**ED MCGOUGH**  
Age: 56  
Director Since: January 2017  

Ed McGough has served as the Senior Vice President of Global Manufacturing and Technical Operations at Alcon Laboratories, Inc. (“Alcon”), a division of Novartis AG, since 2008. Mr. McGough joined Alcon in 1991 as a Manager of Quality Assurance and Regulatory Affairs in Alcon’s Pennsylvania facility. He has held various other leadership roles in both manufacturing and regulatory affairs at Alcon in both Fort Worth, Texas and Puerto Rico, including: Director of Quality Assurance from 1992 to 1994; Director of Operations from 1994 to 1996; Director of Manufacturing from 1996 to 2000; and Vice President and General Manager of Manufacturing in Fort Worth, Texas and Houston, Texas from 2000 to 2006. Following these roles, he served as Vice President, Manufacturing, Pharmaceutical Operations, responsible for manufacturing operations for the North America region.

**Board Committees:**
- Compensation Committee
- Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee

**Board Committees:**
- Compensation Committee
- Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee (Chairman)
for Alcon’s pharmaceutical plants in the United States, Brazil, Mexico, Spain, Belgium and France. Prior to joining Alcon, Mr. McGough served in various quality engineering and management roles with Baxter Healthcare Corporation.

We believe Mr. McGough’s qualifications to serve on the board of directors include his executive level leadership and international experience in global manufacturing.

**Stephen E. Pirnat** has served as a member of the Board of Directors of ClearSign Combustion Corporation (“ClearSign”) since 2011 and as the Chairman of the Board of Directors and Chief Executive Officer of ClearSign since 2015. From 2011 to 2014, he served as the Managing Director of European, Middle Eastern and African operations of Quest Integrity Group, a division of Team, Inc., a provider of asset integrity management and asset reliability solutions in the refinery, chemical, petrochemical, pipeline and power industries worldwide. From 2009 to 2011, Mr. Pirnat served as the President of Quest Integrated Inc., a technology incubator and boutique private equity firm and President of the Quest Metrology Group LLC. From 2000 to 2009, he served as the President and Chief Executive Officer of John Zink Company, LLC, a wholly owned subsidiary of Koch Industries and a worldwide leader in the supply of combustion and environmental solutions. From 1998 to 1999, he served as President and Chief Executive Officer of Pangborn Corporation, a leading supplier of surface preparation equipment and associated services to the automotive and aircraft industries. From 1988 to 1998, Mr. Pirnat served in various sales, marketing, operational, engineering and executive positions at Ingersoll-Rand and Ingersoll-Dresser Corporation.

We believe Mr. Pirnat’s qualifications to serve on the board of directors include his career providing infrastructure solutions to large industrial companies both in the U.S. and internationally and his extensive experience and understanding of the industries in which our Company operates.

**Steven R. Purvis** is a Principal of Luther King Capital Management (“LKCM”). He joined the firm in 1996 as Director of Research, became Co-Manager on the Small Cap Strategy in 1998 and Lead Manager in 2000. Mr. Purvis currently serves as a Portfolio Manager responsible for small cap and small mid-cap focused investment portfolios. He has been a Principal of LKCM since 2004 and a Trustee to the LKCM Funds since 2013. Prior to joining LKCM, Mr. Purvis served as a Senior Analyst to Roulston Research from 1993 to 1996 and also served as a Research Analyst at Waddell & Reed, Inc. from 1990 to 1993. Since 2001, he has served as Chairman and significant shareholder of KGP Group, Inc., a private international manufacturing company focused on the aerospace and packaging industries. Mr. Purvis is also currently serving as the Saints Foundation investment committee chair, which supports All Saints Episcopal School of Fort Worth, Texas.

We believe Mr. Purvis’s qualifications for serving on the board of directors includes his distinguished career as a portfolio manager in the public equity markets with a focus on small to mid-cap companies, experience in analyzing corporate strategy and investment decisions across multiple industries and his ability to add an additional layer of financial analytics to the board’s deliberations.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Summary of Director Experience, Qualifications, Attributes and Skills</th>
<th>Berce</th>
<th>Eisman</th>
<th>Feehan</th>
<th>Ferguson</th>
<th>Joyce</th>
<th>McCellon-Allen</th>
<th>McGough</th>
<th>Pirnat</th>
<th>Purvis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CEO/Senior Executive Leadership Experience</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Financial Expertise</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manufacturing and Distribution Expertise</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Technological Expertise</td>
<td></td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy Industry Expertise</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>International Experience</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strategic Planning and Oversight</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Our board of directors, acting through the nominating and corporate governance committee ensures the Company’s diverse professional expertise, strong skills and qualifications. The collective combination of backgrounds, skills and experience levels of its members has produced a board that is well-equipped to exercise independent and robust oversight responsibilities for AZZ’s shareholders and to guide the Company’s management team in achieving AZZ’s long-term strategic objectives.
Corporate Governance

The board of directors believes that strong corporate governance is a prerequisite to the continued success of the Company. The board of directors has adopted formal, written Corporate Governance Guidelines designed to strengthen AZZ’s corporate governance. Among other things, the guidelines contain standards for determining whether a director is independent, based upon the independence requirements of the New York Stock Exchange (the “NYSE”).

The nominating and corporate governance committee is responsible for overseeing and reviewing the Corporate Governance Guidelines and Code of Conduct at least annually and recommending any proposed changes to the full board of directors for its approval.

On January 20, 2016, the board of directors amended the Company’s Code of Conduct applicable to all of our directors, officers and employees, and the charters for each board of directors committee to provide greater emphasis and clarity of evolving legal and regulatory requirements and best practices.

The Corporate Governance Guidelines, Code of Conduct and charters for the audit, compensation and nominating and corporate governance committees are available on the Company’s website at www.azz.com under the heading “Investor Relations — Corporate Governance”.

Director Independence

It is our policy that the board of directors will at all times consist of a majority of independent directors. AZZ recognizes the importance of having an independent board of directors that is accountable to both AZZ and its shareholders. In addition, all members of the audit committee, compensation committee and nominating and corporate governance committee must be independent. To be considered independent, a director must satisfy the independence requirements established by the NYSE and the Securities and Exchange Commission (the “SEC”).

The board of directors will consider and apply all facts and circumstances relating to each director in determining independence. The board of directors has determined that all of the current members of the board of directors have no material relationship with the Company and are independent within the meaning of the Company’s Corporate Governance Guidelines as the Company’s president and chief executive officer.

Directors’ Attendance at Board and Committee Meetings and at the Annual Meeting of Shareholders

Our board of directors met five times during fiscal year 2017. Each director attended at least 75% of the total number of board meetings and meetings of the board committee or committees on which he or she served during fiscal year 2017. Although we have no formal policy on the matter, all directors are encouraged, and typically have attended, our annual meeting of shareholders. All of our directors attended the 2016 Annual Meeting of Shareholders other than Mr. McGough (who was not a member of the board of directors at the time of such meeting).

Board Committees

The board of directors has established three standing board committees, the audit committee, the compensation committee and the nominating and corporate governance committee. Each committee is governed by a charter that

...
is reviewed annually and revised as deemed necessary. A copy of each charter is available on the Company’s website at www.azz.com under the heading “Investor Relations − Corporate Governance.”

A copy of each charter is available on the Company’s website at www.azz.com under the heading “Investor Relations − Corporate Governance.”

AUDIT COMMITTEE

Committee Members: Daniel R. Feehan* (Chairman), Daniel E. Berce*, Paul Eisman, Stephen E. Pirnat* and Steven R. Purvis*

Committee Functions

- Oversees the Company’s accounting, auditing, financial reporting, systems of internal controls regarding finance and accounting and corporate finance strategy;
- Directly responsible for the appointment, compensation, retention and oversight of the independent registered public accounting firm;
- Pre-approval of all auditing services and permitted non-audit services to be performed for the Company by its independent auditor;
- Review and discuss with management (i) the guidelines and policies that govern the processes by which the Company assesses and manages its exposure to risk; and (ii) the Company’s major financial and other risk exposures and the steps management has taken to monitor and control such exposures;
- Meets regularly in executive session with the Company’s management, internal and independent auditors;
- Reviews and approves any proposed related-party transactions consistent with the Company’s policy regarding such transactions and reports any findings to the full Board.

Independent Members: 5
Financial Experts: 4
FY2017 Audit Committee Meetings Held: 5

COMPENSATION COMMITTEE

Committee Members: Daniel E. Berce (Chairman), H. Kirk Downey, Paul Eisman, Daniel R. Feehan, Kevern R. Joyce, Venita McCellon-Allen and Ed McGough

Committee Functions

- Establishes, oversees and adjusts the Company’s incentive-based compensation plans, sets compensation for our CEO and approves compensation for the other executive officers;
- Reviews and discusses with management the Compensation Discussion & Analysis to be included in the Company’s annual report and proxy statement;
- Reviews and approves employment agreements, severance agreements or other significant matters relating to the Company’s CEO and other executive officers, including the annual performance review of the CEO;
- Reviews with management and recommends to the Board changes in the Company’s compensation structure, policies and programs and its competitiveness as an employer; and
- Administer the Company’s Compensation Recovery Policy allowing AZZ to recoup incentive based compensation paid to applicable officers and employees in the event of a financial restatement or misconduct.

Independent Members: 6
FY2017 Compensation Committee Meetings Held: 5
NOMINATING AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE COMMITTEE

Committee Members:

Committee Functions

- Identifies potential individuals qualified to become members of the board consistent with criteria approved by the board;
- Recommends director candidates to the board for election at the annual meetings of shareholders or to fill vacancies pursuant to the Company’s Bylaws;
- Recommends director nominees to the board for each board committee and the chairman of the board;
- Responsible for establishing and overseeing AZZ’s Corporate Governance Guidelines, Code of Conduct, and the director nomination process;
- Regularly review and make recommendations to the board regarding director compensation; and
- Lead an annual process for evaluating the performance of the board as a whole and each of the board committees and report its findings and recommendations to the board.

Independent Members: 5
FY2017 Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee Meetings Held: 4

Immediately following the 2017 Annual Meeting of Shareholders, if all of the director nominees are elected, the board committees will be comprised of the following members:

Director
Daniel E. Berce
Paul Eiseman
Daniel R. Feehan
Kevern R. Joyce
Venita McCellon-Alten
Ed McGough
Stephen E. Pirnat
Steven R. Purvis

Meetings of Independent Directors without Management Present

To empower our independent directors to serve as a more effective check on management, our independent directors meet at regularly scheduled executive sessions without members of AZZ’s management present. The independent directors met without management present five times during the last fiscal year. Executive sessions ordinarily are held in conjunction with quarterly scheduled board meetings. Mr. Joyce, as our independent chairman of the board of directors, presides over these meetings.
Board Leadership Structure

Preside at board meetings;
Consult with management as to the agenda items for board and committee meetings; and
Preside at executive sessions or other meetings of the non-employee directors;
coordinate with committee chairs in the development and recommendations regarding board and
committee meeting schedules.

The board of directors believes that it is preferable for one of its independent, non-employee members to
fill the position of the Company’s non-management chairman of the board of directors. The duties of the board chairman are to:
•任主席
•任管理委员会主席
•任非执行董事会议主席
•任非执行委员会主席
•任非执行董事
•任非执行董事会议主席
•任非执行委员会主席

Chairmen of the Board
Non-management chairman of the board of directors. The duties of the board chairman are to:
•任主席
•任管理委员会主席
•任非执行董事会议主席
•任非执行委员会主席
•任非执行董事
•任非执行董事会议主席
•任非执行委员会主席

Annual Board and Committee Self-Assessments

The board of directors annually evaluate the performance of the board and its committees. As part of the board’s self
Assessment process, the board is responsible for regularly examining the effectiveness of its
structure, effectiveness and responsibilities, and the board also considers its governance guidelines and the
appropriateness of the Company’s leadership structure, such as circumstances may evolve over time, which
they continue to meet the Company’s needs and strategic interests.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Initiation of Process</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>directors for consideration in advance of the board’s self</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assessment discussion.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Discussion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The chairman of the board meets with the board to gather their views and obtain feedback. Committee chairs lead their respective committee discussions during executive session. The chairman of the board follows a similar process for their respective committees. A list of potential topics are circulated by the chairman of the board to the directors for consideration in advance of the board’s self Assessment discussion.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Follow-Up</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Annual Board and Committee Self-Assessments</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The Role of the Board in Succession Planning

The board believes effective succession planning particularly for the chief executive officer is important to the continued success of the Company. As a result, the board periodically reviews and discusses succession planning with the chief executive officer during executive sessions of board meetings. The compensation committee reviews and assesses the management succession planning process and reports to the board with respect to such succession planning for the chief executive officer and our other executive officers.

The Board’s Role in Risk Oversight

The Company’s board of directors has overall responsibility for the effective oversight of risk, whether financial, operational or strategic. This oversight function necessarily focuses on the most significant risks facing the Company and is deemed an important priority by the board of directors. The board of directors does not attempt to view in isolation the risks facing the Company, but tries to consider risk holistically and as a proper component of the Company’s short-term and long-term strategy. The board of directors does not believe it is possible, nor even desirable, to eliminate all business risk. Rather, reasonable and calculated risk-taking by management is deemed appropriate and necessary for the Company to remain competitive in its industries.

While the board of directors generally oversees risk management, the responsibility for daily management of these risks resides with the Company’s chief executive officer and other members of the executive team who are responsible for the ongoing assessment and management of Company risks, including risks relating to operations; governance; implementing strategic growth initiatives; integrating acquisitions into the Company’s operations; the Company’s public company compliance programs; financial reporting and public disclosure. The Company has established robust internal processes and controls for identifying and managing risk, including comprehensive internal and external audit processes. These processes have been designed to allow management to effectively identify and manage risks and to timely communicate the results of such activities to the board of directors. Management routinely communicates with the board of directors, its committees and individual directors, as appropriate, regarding various risks. All directors have direct and open access to the Company’s executive officers and other members of the management team. As a result, throughout the year, the board of directors and its committees communicate with each other and with management. Periodically, the Company’s strategic and operational risks are presented and thoroughly discussed with the board of directors during the chief executive officer’s operational report. The Company’s financial risks are specifically addressed during the formal presentation of its financial results at each board meeting. The board of directors further considers risks when considering specific actions proposed by management.

In addition to the presentation of information to the full board of directors, the board of directors has delegated responsibility for the oversight of certain risks to the proper board committees. These committees regularly meet and report to the full board of directors at each board meeting. In particular:

• The audit committee oversees the integrity of the financial statements of the Company, the independent auditor’s qualifications and independence, the performance of the Company’s internal audit function and independent auditors; and the Company’s compliance with legal and regulatory requirements and concerns relating to AZZ’s accounting matters. Complaints and concerns relating to AZZ’s accounting matters should be communicated to the audit committee. Any such communications may be made on an anonymous basis. Any concerns or complaints may be reported to the audit committee through a third-party vendor, NAVEX Global Inc., which has been retained by the audit committee for this purpose. The AZZ Alertline may be accessed toll-free at 1 (855) 268-6428 or via the website at https://azz.alertline.com. Outside parties, including customers, vendors, suppliers or shareholders may bring issues regarding accounting matters to the attention of the audit committee by writing to the Chairman of the Audit Committee, AZZ Inc., 3100 West 7th St., Suite 500, Fort Worth, TX 76107. All complaints and concerns will be reviewed under the direction of the audit committee and oversight provided by the chief legal officer and other appropriate persons as determined by the audit committee.
CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

As indicated above, the board of directors’ proper role is risk oversight as opposed to the day-to-day management of the Company’s management team. The board of directors is responsible for determining (i) whether the transaction is on terms that are no less favorable to the Company than terms generally available to an unaffiliated third party under the same or similar circumstances; (ii) whether the transaction impairs independence of the director, officer, key leadership or advisory committee member, where the amount involved in the transaction exceeds or is expected to exceed $120,000; and (iii) whether or not to approve or ratify such transactions subject to the policy and determines the composition of the board of directors, is the main strategy and the compensation consultant, which assists the compensation management regarding adjustments to the Company’s executive compensation programs. The compensation committee has retained and regularly meets with Meridian, its independent executive management chairman of the board of directors and of each committee, supports its risk oversight responsibility in the Company’s overall management and organizational structure, as well as succession planning at the top of the Company’s management team. The board of directors believes this division of responsibility provides an effective means for addressing the full spectrum of risks, which is the focus of the Company’s management regarding adjustments to the Company’s executive compensation programs. The compensation committee reviews the recommendations of the Company’s compensation committee in evaluating the Company’s compensation programs and adherence to the philosophies and principles as discussed under “Executive Compensation Discussion and Analysis.” Any violations. The Code of Conduct and any amendments to the Code of Conduct will be disclosed on the Company’s website at www.azz.com. 

In the Company’s business of selling products and services, as discussed under “Executive Compensation Discussion and Analysis,” Any related person’s interest in the transaction may effect the Company’s compensation structure may have on the Company’s compensation programs. The compensation committee reviews the recommendations of the Company’s compensation committee in evaluating the Company’s compensation programs and adherence to the philosophies and principles as discussed under “Executive Compensation Discussion and Analysis.” Any violations. The Code of Conduct and any amendments to the Code of Conduct will be disclosed on the Company’s website at www.azz.com. 

As indicated above, the board of directors’ proper role is risk oversight as opposed to the day-to-day management of the Company’s management team. The board of directors is responsible for determining (i) whether the transaction is on terms that are no less favorable to the Company than terms generally available to an unaffiliated third party under the same or similar circumstances; (ii) whether the transaction impairs independence of the director, officer, key leadership or advisory committee member, where the amount involved in the transaction exceeds or is expected to exceed $120,000; and (iii) whether or not to approve or ratify such transactions subject to the policy and determines the composition of the board of directors, is the main strategy and the compensation consultant, which assists the compensation management regarding adjustments to the Company’s executive compensation programs. The compensation committee has retained and regularly meets with Meridian, its independent executive management chairman of the board of directors and of each committee, supports its risk oversight responsibility in the Company’s overall management and organizational structure, as well as succession planning at the top of the Company’s management team. The board of directors believes this division of responsibility provides an effective means for addressing the full spectrum of risks, which is the focus of the Company’s management regarding adjustments to the Company’s executive compensation programs. The compensation committee reviews the recommendations of the Company’s compensation committee in evaluating the Company’s compensation programs and adherence to the philosophies and principles as discussed under “Executive Compensation Discussion and Analysis.” Any violations. The Code of Conduct and any amendments to the Code of Conduct will be disclosed on the Company’s website at www.azz.com. 

As indicated above, the board of directors’ proper role is risk oversight as opposed to the day-to-day management of the Company’s management team. The board of directors is responsible for determining (i) whether the transaction is on terms that are no less favorable to the Company than terms generally available to an unaffiliated third party under the same or similar circumstances; (ii) whether the transaction impairs independence of the director, officer, key leadership or advisory committee member, where the amount involved in the transaction exceeds or is expected to exceed $120,000; and (iii) whether or not to approve or ratify such transactions subject to the policy and determines the composition of the board of directors, is the main strategy and the compensation consultant, which assists the compensation management regarding adjustments to the Company’s executive compensation programs. The compensation committee has retained and regularly meets with Meridian, its independent executive management chairman of the board of directors and of each committee, supports its risk oversight responsibility in the Company’s overall management and organizational structure, as well as succession planning at the top of the Company’s management team. The board of directors believes this division of responsibility provides an effective means for addressing the full spectrum of risks, which is the focus of the Company’s management regarding adjustments to the Company’s executive compensation programs. The compensation committee reviews the recommendations of the Company’s compensation committee in evaluating the Company’s compensation programs and adherence to the philosophies and principles as discussed under “Executive Compensation Discussion and Analysis.” Any violations. The Code of Conduct and any amendments to the Code of Conduct will be disclosed on the Company’s website at www.azz.com.
does not hold any direct interest in these transactions, which consist of sales by the Company’s Energy and
Galvanizing Segments of certain products and services to several of AEP’s affiliates, and holds an indirect interest
solely by virtue of her position as an officer of a subsidiary of AEP. The audit committee considered the business
relationship between the Company and AEP and its affiliates when reviewing the transactions that occurred during
fiscal year 2017 and determined to approve and ratify the business transactions, based in part on (a) the fact that
this commercial relationship was in existence for several years prior to Ms. McCellon-Allen being elected to the
board of directors, (b) the fact that such transactions were negotiated on an arm’s length basis without any terms
more or less favorable to the Company than would be received from any other unaffiliated third party, (c) the audit
committee’s understanding that Ms. McCellon-Allen does not exercise or influence decision-making abilities with
respect to the AEP affiliates conducting business with the Company and its subsidiaries and (d) the audit
committee’s understanding that Ms. McCellon-Allen will be subject to information barriers within AEP that are
intended to prevent her from receiving information regarding any business conducted between AZZ and AEP (or
their respective affiliates).
DIRECTOR COMPENSATION

The Company uses a combination of cash and stock-based incentive compensation to attract and retain qualified candidates to serve on the board of directors. In setting director compensation, the nominating and corporate governance committee considers the significant amount of time that directors contribute in fulfilling their duties to the Company and the skill level required for members of the board of directors.

Set forth below is a summary of the components of compensation payable to non-employee directors for board and committee service for fiscal year 2017. Mr. Ferguson, while serving as an executive officer of the Company, did not receive any compensation for his service as a director.

Cash Compensation

The table below shows cash compensation payable to the non-employee directors of the Company for fiscal year 2017:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Service</th>
<th>Fee Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Annual Retainer for Board Service</td>
<td>$40,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annual Retainer for Board Chairman Service</td>
<td>$60,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annual Audit Committee Chairman Retainer</td>
<td>$3,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annual Compensation Committee Chairman Retainer</td>
<td>$1,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annual Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee Chairman Retainer</td>
<td>$1,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quarterly Board Meeting Fee</td>
<td>$2,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Audit Committee Meeting Fee</td>
<td>$1,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compensation Committee Meeting Fee</td>
<td>$1,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee Meeting Fee</td>
<td>$1,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The annual retainers described above were paid quarterly at the end of each fiscal quarter of the Company. Board and committee meeting fees were also paid on a quarterly basis in arrears based on attendance. All members of the board of directors are reimbursed for reasonable costs and expenses incurred in attending board and committee meetings.

Effective as of March 1, 2017, upon the recommendation of the nominating and corporate governance committee, the board approved the following changes to cash compensation payable to the non-employee directors of the Company for fiscal year 2018:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Service</th>
<th>Fee Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Annual Retainer for Board Service</td>
<td>$65,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annual Retainer for Board Chairman Service</td>
<td>$60,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annual Audit Committee Chairman Retainer</td>
<td>$3,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annual Audit Committee Member Retainer</td>
<td>$5,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annual Compensation Committee Chairman Retainer</td>
<td>$2,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annual Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee Chairman Retainer</td>
<td>$1,500</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Equity-Based Compensation

In addition to the cash compensation described above, on July 12, 2016, each non-employee director also received AZZ common stock under the Company’s 2014 Long Term Incentive Plan (the “2014 Plan”) having a $100,000 fair market value on the date of grant. The grant date was targeted for the date of the annual meeting of shareholders on each applicable year.
### Director Summary Compensation Table

The table below sets forth total compensation paid to our non-employee directors for their service during fiscal year 2017.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Fees Earned or Paid in Cash ($)</th>
<th>Stock Awards ($) (1)</th>
<th>Option/ SARs Awards ($) (2)</th>
<th>Total ($)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Daniel E. Berce</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>166,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Martin C. Bowen</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>21,565</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H. Kirk Downey</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>160,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paul Eisman</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>159,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daniel R. Feehan</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>164,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peter A. Hegedus</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>22,565</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kevern R. Joyce</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>223,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Venita McCellon-Allen</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>157,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ed McGough</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4,556</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stephen E. Pirnat</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>161,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steven R. Purvis</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>164,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(1) Eligible directors received an annual equity grant of common stock of the Company having a $100,000 fair market value at the time of grant, on the date of the annual meeting of shareholders, which was July 12, 2016. The equity values in this column for the fiscal year ended February 28, 2017 reflect the aggregate grant date fair market value calculated in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718 for stock awards granted to each of the non-employee directors under the 2014 Plan. Assumptions used in the calculation of this amount are included in footnote 11 to the Company's audited financial statements for the fiscal year ended February 28, 2017, included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K.

(2) Beginning in fiscal year 2016, SARs were no longer granted as a component of the Company’s annual board of directors compensation.

(3) The amount reported is prorated based upon Mr. Bowen’s retirement from the board of directors on July 12, 2016.

(4) Dr. Downey is not standing for re-election.

(5) The amount reported is prorated based upon Mr. Hegedus’s retirement from the board of directors on July 12, 2016.

(6) The amount reported is prorated based upon Mr. McGough’s appointment to the board of directors on January 19, 2017.

### NON-EMPLOYEE DIRECTOR STOCK OWNERSHIP GUIDELINES

Under the Company’s stock ownership guidelines, non-employee directors are expected to accumulate within five (5) years of joining the board of directors, shares of AZZ’s common stock equal in value to at least five (5) times the amount of their annual cash retainer (currently valued at $200,000). All non-employee directors are in compliance with the minimum requirement of the stock ownership guidelines, except for Mr. McGough, who...
was recently appointed to the board of directors on January 19, 2017. He will continue to work towards acquiring the target level within five (5) years from his respective date of being appointed to the board of directors.

PROCEDURES FOR COMMUNICATING WITH DIRECTORS

The board of directors has established a process by which shareholders can send communications to the board of directors. Interested parties would use the same method as shareholders to communicate directly with the chairman of the board of directors or with non-employee directors as a group. Shareholders and interested parties can send written communications to one or more members of our board of directors at the address noted below:

Mr. Kevern R. Joyce  
Chairman of the Board  
AZZ Inc.  
One Museum Place, Suite 500  
3100 West 7th Street  
Fort Worth, Texas  76107

Generally, we distribute communications to the board of directors or to any individual director, as appropriate, depending on the subject matter, facts and circumstances outlined in the communication. We will not distribute communications that are not related to the duties and responsibilities of the board of directors, including:

- spam;
- junk mail and mass mailings;
- product or service inquiries or complaints;
- new product or service suggestions;
- resumés and other forms of job inquiries;
- surveys; and
- business solicitations or advertisements.

In addition, we will not distribute unsuitable material to our directors, including material that is unduly hostile, threatening or illegal, although any communication that is screened as described above will be made available to any director upon request.

DIRECTOR NOMINATION PROCESS

Board Member Qualification Criteria

The nominating and corporate governance committee has adopted certain Board Member Qualification Criteria, which set forth the primary attributes and qualifications considered by the nominating and corporate governance committee in evaluating nominees for director, including:

- management and leadership experience;
- relevant industry knowledge and diversity of background and experience; and
- personal and professional demonstration of ethics, integrity and professionalism.

The nominating and corporate governance committee also believes that the board of directors should be composed of individuals who have achieved a high level of distinction in business, law, education or public service and who possess one or more of the following specific qualities or skills:
• financial expertise;
• general domestic and global knowledge of the electrical and industrial products industry, metal coatings services or the highly engineered welding services industry;
• legal, human resources or accounting experience; and
• chief executive officer, chief financial officer or other senior management experience.

The nominating and corporate governance committee does not have a formal policy regarding the consideration of diversity in identifying director nominees, though diversity is always considered, among many other factors, with a broad view toward the needs of the entire board of directors. When identifying and recommending director nominees, the nominating and corporate governance committee views diversity expansively to include, without limitation, concepts such as race, gender, national origin, differences of viewpoint, professional experience, education, specialized skills and other qualities or attributes that can contribute to the board’s effectiveness. The nominating and corporate governance committee believes that including diversity as one of the many factors considered in selecting director nominees is consistent with the nominating and corporate governance committee’s goal of creating a board of directors that best serves the needs of the Company and the interests of its shareholders.

Internal Process for Identifying Candidates

Members of the nominating and corporate governance committee or other AZZ directors or executive officers may, from time to time, identify potential candidates for nomination to our board of directors. All proposed nominees, including candidates recommended for nomination by shareholders in accordance with the procedures described below, will be evaluated in light of the Board Member Qualification Criteria and the projected needs of the board of directors at the time. The nominating and corporate governance committee may also retain a search firm from time to time to assist in identifying potential candidates for nomination to the board of directors. The search firm’s responsibilities may include identifying and evaluating candidates believed to possess the qualities set forth in the Board Member Qualification Criteria, providing background information on potential nominees and interviewing and screening nominees if requested to do so by the nominating and corporate governance committee.

Board Composition and Ongoing Refreshment

The board of directors understands the importance of board refreshment, and strives to maintain an appropriate balance of tenure, turnover, diversity and skills on the board. The board also believes that new perspectives and ideas are critical to a forward-looking and strategic board, but must be balanced with the valuable experience and continuity that longer serving directors provide. Ensuring the board is composed of directors who bring diverse viewpoints and perspectives, exhibit a variety of skills, professional experience and backgrounds, and effectively represent the long term interests of our shareholders, is a top priority.

At the end of 2014, the board of directors began a refreshment process consisting of the following goals:

• To add members with significant international experience;
• To add members with engineering and manufacturing expertise;
• To provide for a smooth transition over time while reducing the average age and tenure of the board;
• To expand the board size so that no member served on more than two committees;
• To add diversity and strength to the board through race, gender, national origin, differences of viewpoint, and professional experience; and
To gradually add members to the board over the next three years to maintain board stability and culture during the refreshing process.

In 2015, the board of directors amended the Company’s Corporate Governance Guidelines to include a requirement that a non-employee director having attained the age of 72 during his or her term, shall retire at the end of that term. The board of directors does, however, have the discretion to waive this requirement if in individual circumstances indicate that a waiver would be in the best interests of the Company.

When the board began its refreshment process, it was comprised of members having an average age of 68 years and an average tenure of 16 years. Now, the board nominees have an average age of 61 years and an average tenure of 7 years, with over 50% of the directors having joined the board in the last 5 years.

Shareholder Recommendations for Directors

Shareholders who wish to recommend a candidate for evaluation by the nominating and corporate governance committee should forward the candidate’s name, business or residence address, principal occupation or employment and a description of the candidate’s qualifications to the chairman of the nominating and corporate governance committee, in care of the corporate secretary, AZZ Inc., One Museum Place, 3100 West 7th Street, Suite 500, Fort Worth, Texas 76107. In addition, the corporate secretary must receive the request for consideration and all required information no later than 5:00 p.m., local time, on January 24, 2018.

Proposals should be sent via registered, certified or express mail. The corporate secretary will send properly submitted shareholder recommendations to the chairman of the nominating and corporate governance committee. In order for a candidate proposed by a shareholder to be considered by the nominating and corporate governance committee for inclusion as a board nominee at the 2018 Annual Meeting of Shareholders, the candidate must meet the Board Member Qualification Criteria described above and must be expressly interested and willing to serve as an AZZ director. Individuals recommended to the nominating and corporate governance committee by shareholders in accordance with the procedures described above will be evaluated by the nominating and corporate governance committee in the same manner as individuals who are recommended through other means.

Shareholder Nominations of Directors

Article III, Section 3.08 of our Bylaws also permits a shareholder to propose a candidate at an Annual Meeting of Shareholders who is not otherwise nominated by the board of directors through the process described above if the shareholder complies with the advance notice, information and consent provisions contained in the Bylaws. To comply with the advance notice provision of the Bylaws, a shareholder who wishes to nominate a director at the 2018 Annual Meeting of Shareholders must provide AZZ written notice no earlier than March 12, 2018 and no later than April 11, 2018. You may also contact the Company’s corporate secretary to obtain the specific information that must be provided with the advance notice.

Nominees for Election at the 2017 Annual Meeting

AZZ received no recommendations for nominees for election to the board of directors at our 2017 Annual Meeting of Shareholders by shareholders or groups of shareholders owning more than 5% of our common stock.
SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF MANAGEMENT AND DIRECTORS

The following table indicates the ownership on April 28, 2017, of AZZ’s common stock (which is our only class of stock outstanding) by each director and each named executive officer listed in the Summary Compensation Table provided on page 53, and all directors and executive officers of the Company as a group. Beneficial ownership means that the individual has or shares voting power or investment power with respect to the shares of AZZ’s common stock or the individual has the right to acquire shares of AZZ common stock within 60 days of April 28, 2017.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name of Beneficial Owner</th>
<th>Amount and Nature of Beneficial Ownership(1)</th>
<th>Percent of Class</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chris Bacius</td>
<td>5,454</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daniel E. Berce</td>
<td>57,180</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dr. H. Kirk Downey</td>
<td>13,244</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paul Eisman</td>
<td>4,641</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daniel R. Feehan</td>
<td>58,930</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paul W. Fehlman</td>
<td>7,787</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thomas E. Ferguson</td>
<td>44,037</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kevern R. Joyce</td>
<td>57,433</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tara D. Mackey</td>
<td>3,858</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Venita McCellon-Allen</td>
<td>6,641</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ed McGough</td>
<td>1,324</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tim E. Pendley</td>
<td>33,926</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stephen Pirnat</td>
<td>5,556</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steven R. Purvis</td>
<td>3,556</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All Current Directors and Executive Officers as a Group (16 persons)</td>
<td>309,986</td>
<td>0.012%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Indicates beneficial ownership of less than 1% of the outstanding shares of AZZ’s common stock.

(1) Each person named in the table has sole investment and voting power with respect to all shares of common stock shown to be beneficially owned by such person. Beneficial ownership has been determined in accordance with Rule 13d-3 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the “Exchange Act”). The percentage of voting stock held is based upon 26,020,692 shares outstanding as of April 28, 2017.

(2) Does not include 2,724 SARs that Mr. Bacius has the right to exercise within 60 days of April 28, 2017. These SARs do not convert into common stock on a one-for-one basis when exercised. The SARs are settled in shares of AZZ common stock of an amount equal to the excess value of the exercise price over the grant date price.

(3) Does not include 9,007 SARs that Mr. Berce has the right to exercise within 60 days of April 28, 2017. These SARs do not convert into common stock on a one-for-one basis when exercised. The SARs are settled in shares of AZZ common stock of an amount equal to the excess value of the exercise price over the grant date price.
Does not include 535 SARs that Dr. Downey has the right to exercise within 60 days of April 28, 2017. These SARs do not convert into common stock on a one-for-one basis when exercised. The SARs are settled in shares of AZZ common stock of an amount equal to the excess value of the exercise price over the grant date price.

Does not include 9,007 SARs that Mr. Feehan has the right to exercise within 60 days of April 28, 2017. These SARs do not convert into common stock on a one-for-one basis when exercised. The SARs are settled in shares of AZZ common stock of an amount equal to the excess value of the exercise price over the grant date price.

Does not include 8,089 SARs that Mr. Fehlman has the right to exercise within 60 days of April 28, 2017. These SARs do not convert into common stock on a one-for-one basis when exercised. The SARs are settled in shares of AZZ common stock of an amount equal to the excess value of the exercise price over the grant date price.

Does not include 56,471 SARs that Mr. Ferguson has the right to exercise within 60 days of April 28, 2017. These SARs do not convert into common stock on a one-for-one basis when exercised. The SARs are settled in shares of AZZ common stock of an amount equal to the excess value of the exercise price over the grant date price.

Does not include 3,873 SARs that Mr. Joyce has the right to exercise within 60 days of April 28, 2017. These SARs do not convert into common stock on a one-for-one basis when exercised. The SARs are settled in shares of AZZ common stock of an amount equal to the excess value of the exercise price over the grant date price.

Does not include 2,711 SARs that Ms. Mackey has the right to exercise within 60 days of April 28, 2017. These SARs do not convert into common stock on a one-for-one basis when exercised. The SARs are settled in shares of AZZ common stock of an amount equal to the excess value of the exercise price over the grant date price.

Does not include 11,182 SARs that Mr. Pendley has the right to exercise within 60 days of April 28, 2017. These SARs do not convert into common stock on a one-for-one basis when exercised. The SARs are settled in shares of AZZ common stock of an amount equal to the excess value of the exercise price over the grant date price.

The number of shares of our common stock that all of our directors and executive officers own as a group.
### SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS

The following table indicates the ownership by each person who is known by us to own beneficially, as of April 28, 2017, five percent or more of our common stock:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name and Address of Beneficial Owner</th>
<th>Amount and Nature of Beneficial Ownership</th>
<th>Percent of Class</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BlackRock, Inc.</td>
<td>2,901,451</td>
<td>11.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55 East 52nd Street</td>
<td>New York, NY 10055</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FMR LLC</td>
<td>2,151,707</td>
<td>8.27%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>245 Summer Street</td>
<td>Boston, Massachusetts 02210</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neuberger Berman Group LLC</td>
<td>1,306,425</td>
<td>5.03%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neuberger Berman Investment Advisers LLC</td>
<td>1290 Avenue of the Americas</td>
<td>New York, NY 10104</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Vanguard Group, Inc.</td>
<td>2,189,110</td>
<td>8.41%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100 Vanguard Blvd.</td>
<td>Malvern, PA 19355</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T. Rowe Price Associates, Inc.</td>
<td>2,008,369</td>
<td>7.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100 East Pratt Street</td>
<td>Baltimore, MD 21202</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(1) Blackrock, Inc. is the parent holding company of certain institutional investment managers, which collectively had sole voting power over 2,846,132 shares and sole investment power over all 2,901,451 shares. Information based solely on Schedule 13G/A filed with the SEC on January 12, 2017.

(2) FMR LLC, a parent holding company, which collectively had sole voting power over 164,623 shares and sole investment power over all 2,151,707 shares. Abigail P. Johnson is a director, the chairman and the chief executive officer of FMR LLC. Members of the Johnson family are the predominant owners, directly or through trusts, of Series B voting common shares of FMR LLC, representing 49% of the voting power of FMR LLC. The Johnson family group and all other Series B shareholders have entered into a shareholders' voting agreement under which all Series B voting common shares will be voted in accordance with the majority vote of Series B voting common shares. Accordingly, through their ownership of voting common shares and the execution of the shareholders' voting agreement, members of the Johnson family may be deemed, under the Investment Company Act of 1940, to form a controlling group with respect to FMR LLC. Neither FMR LLC nor Abigail P. Johnson has the sole power to vote or direct the voting of the shares owned directly by the various investment companies registered under the Investment Company Act (“Fidelity Funds”) advised by Fidelity Management & Research Company (“FMR Co”), a wholly owned subsidiary of FMR LLC, which power resides with the Fidelity Funds’ Boards of Trustees. Fidelity Management & Research Company carries out the voting of the shares underwritten guidelines established by the Fidelity Funds’ Boards of Trustees.

(3) Neuberger Berman Group LLC and Neuberger Berman Investment Advisers LLC had shared voting power over all 1,306,425 shares and shared investment power over all 1,306,425 shares. Information based solely on Schedule 13G filed with the SEC on February 14, 2017.
The Vanguard Group, Inc., a registered investment advisor, had sole voting power over 51,297 shares, shared voting power over 3,792 shares, sole investment power over 2,135,320 shares and shared investment power over 53,790 shares. Information based solely on a Schedule 13G/A filed with the SEC on February 10, 2017.

T. Rowe Price Associates, Inc., a registered broker, had sole voting power over 372,790 shares and sole investment power over all 2,008,369 shares. Information based solely on Schedule 13G/A filed with the SEC on February 6, 2017.

SECTION 16(a) BENEFICIAL OWNERSHIP REPORTING COMPLIANCE

To our knowledge, our officers, directors and greater than 10% beneficial owners timely complied with all applicable Section 16(a) filing requirements.
PROPOSAL 2

APPROVAL OF THE SAY-ON-PAY PROPOSAL

Pursuant to federal legislation (Section 14A of the Exchange Act), AZZ provides its shareholders with a non-binding advisory shareholder vote (commonly referred to as “Say-on-Pay”) on its executive compensation program as described below in the “Compensation Discussion and Analysis” section of this Proxy Statement. Because the Say-on-Pay vote is advisory and non-binding on AZZ or the board of directors, neither AZZ nor the board of directors will be required to take any action as a result of the voting outcome. However, the vote will provide valuable information regarding investor sentiment regarding AZZ’s executive compensation program. The board of directors will review these voting results and take them into consideration when making decisions regarding AZZ’s future executive compensation philosophy, policies, and practices.

AZZ requests that you support the executive compensation program. AZZ believes the information concerning executive compensation set forth in this Proxy Statement demonstrates that its executive compensation program was designed in an appropriate and conservative manner, consistent with sound corporate governance principles, to support AZZ’s short- and long-term business objectives and strategy. AZZ’s executive compensation program is closely monitored by its board of directors to ensure that the compensation program is within the range of market practices for companies of similar size and similar markets. AZZ believes its compensation program appropriately balances utilizing responsible, measured pay practices and provides appropriate incentives to the current named executive officers, and aligns their interests with those of AZZ’s shareholders with respect to the creation of long-term value for AZZ’s shareholders. Consequently, the board of directors strongly endorses AZZ’s executive compensation program and recommends that the shareholders vote in favor of such program by approving the following non-binding advisory resolution:

"RESOLVED, that the shareholders approve, on an advisory basis, the compensation paid to the Company’s named executive officers, as disclosed in this Proxy Statement, including the Compensation Discussion and Analysis, the executive compensation tables and the related narrative discussion."

THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS UNANIMOUSLY RECOMMENDS A VOTE “FOR” THE APPROVAL, ON A NON-BINDING ADVISORY BASIS, OF AZZ’S EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION PROGRAM.
EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

Compensation Discussion and Analysis

Introduction

Our goal for our executive compensation program is to attract, motivate and retain key leaders and high performance executives who provide consistent leadership and team effort to contribute to the Company’s success in dynamic and competitive markets. We seek to accomplish this goal in a way that rewards performance and aligns the long term interests of our executives with those of our shareholders. The compensation committee of the board of directors oversees the executive compensation program and determines the compensation for our named executive officers. We believe the compensation program for our named executive officers was instrumental in helping the Company achieve solid financial performance in fiscal year 2017, despite a challenging economic environment.

Named Executive Officers

The purpose of this Compensation Discussion and Analysis is to describe the compensation committee’s compensation philosophy and approach for the Company’s chief executive officer, chief financial officer, and the three other most highly compensated executives of the Company for fiscal year 2017 (the “named executive officers” or “NEOs”).

- Thomas E. Ferguson – President and Chief Executive Officer
- Paul W. Fehlman – Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer
- Chris Bacius – Vice President, Corporate Development
- Tara D. Mackey – Chief Legal Officer and Secretary
- Tim E. Pendley – Senior Vice President and Chief Operating Officer, Galvanizing

Employment Agreements

Two of our current NEO’s have employment agreements with the Company. Mr. Ferguson’s employment agreement with the Company commenced in November 2013 and was amended and restated on September 29, 2016 (the “Amended CEO Agreement”), which superseded his prior employment agreement. The Amended CEO Agreement:

- extended Mr. Ferguson’s employment term for an additional three years to expire on September 29, 2019 and on each one year anniversary thereafter, will be subject to automatic extensions for successive one-year periods unless either the Company or Mr. Ferguson gives written notice to the other at least one hundred twenty (120) days before such extension would otherwise occur of the Company’s or Mr. Ferguson’s election not to extend the term;
- added a clawback provision for incentive payments to be in compliance under the Dodd-Frank Act and the Company’s Compensation Recovery Policy; and
- as consideration for entering into the Amended CEO Agreement, the Company granted Mr. Ferguson a renewal equity grant consisting of 30,000 restricted stock units (“RSUs”) of the Company’s common stock on the first day of the open trading window under the Company’s Insider Trading Policy, with the RSUs cliff vesting in full on September 29, 2019, provided Mr. Ferguson fulfills the three-year term as defined in the amended agreement.

Mr. Fehlman entered into an employment agreement in February 2014. The initial term was for two (2) years, which was automatically extended on the second anniversary of the date of the agreement and will be subject to...
automatic extensions each subsequent anniversary for one additional year, unless either party gives 120 days written notice of non-renewal.

Each of the above agreements provides for (i) a base salary, to be reviewed annually, which the board and/or the compensation committee may adjust at its discretion; (ii) eligibility for an annual equity award under the Company’s 2014 Plan; and (iii) an annual cash incentive opportunity to be based upon individual or Company performance criteria that the board and/or the compensation committee establishes for each fiscal year, with a target annual cash incentive expressed as a percentage of base salary. Messrs. Ferguson and Fehlman are each subject to covenants prohibiting competition, solicitation of customers and employees and interference with business relationships during employment and for 12 months thereafter and are also subject to restrictive covenants regarding confidentiality, non-disparagement and proprietary rights.

In addition to the terms of these agreements described above, the employment agreements provide for certain severance payments and benefits following a termination of employment under certain circumstances. These benefits are described below in the section titled “Potential Payments upon Termination or Change in Control”.

Highlights of Financial Results for Fiscal Year 2017

Fiscal year 2017 was a challenging year for both our Energy and our Galvanizing Segments, resulting in:

- a decrease in the Company’s consolidated total revenue by 4.9%, to $858.9 million, for the year ended February 28, 2017 compared to the year ended February 29, 2016;
- the Company completing one acquisition in the Electrical Segment; and
- the Company achieving diluted earnings per share equal to $2.33 as compared to $2.96 in fiscal year 2016.

Despite a challenging market in fiscal year 2017, the Company marked its 30th consecutive year of profitable operations and has a record of consistent profitability and strong cash generation. The Company is well positioned for the future and continues to (i) invest in new organic growth initiatives to drive future sales; (ii) execute M&A strategies; and (iii) streamline the business unit platforms to improve operations and to focus on its core businesses.

Highlights of Our Compensation Program

Our NEOs’ total compensation is comprised of a mix of base salary, annual short-term incentive compensation, long-term incentive awards and other benefits. As illustrated below, the chief executive officer’s total compensation for fiscal years 2014 through 2017 was significantly contingent upon the Company’s performance and has increased with the steady increases in return to the Company’s shareholders in the form of year over year stock price appreciation. Actual total compensation paid to Mr. Ferguson during fiscal year 2017 was lower than fiscal year 2016 as a result of a below target payout under the Company’s annual cash incentive plan. Mr. Ferguson’s total compensation illustrated in the chart below includes 30,000 RSUs that had a fair market value of $1,663,800 on October 10, 2016, the date of grant, in consideration of entering into an Amended and Restated Employment Agreement (the “Amended CEO Agreement”) with the Company. These RSUs will cliff vest in full on September 29, 2019, provided Mr. Ferguson fulfills the three-year term as defined in the Amended CEO Agreement.
Total compensation is calculated using the same methodology as in the Summary Compensation Table. Additional detail regarding the compensation paid to our chief executive officer during fiscal year 2017 is provided in the Summary Compensation Table provided on page 53.

- In fiscal year 2017, our NEOs received annual base salary adjustments ranging from 3.5% to 10% for their performance on the execution of several business initiatives and, with respect to certain officers, on the successful identification and evaluation of potential business acquisition targets, additional corporate responsibilities, expanding international business, product and market development initiatives and reducing corporate expenses. See also the table on page 44 regarding adjustments to the NEOs base salaries.

- For fiscal year 2017, our NEOs continued to receive almost half of their compensation in the form of equity compensation, a portion of which is at risk because the awards are tied to increasing shareholder value through return on net assets and stock appreciation performance metrics in the form of performance share units (“PSUs”) and the other portion of equity compensation being tied to time vested RSUs. The grant value of equity awards made to our NEOs in fiscal year 2017 was allocated 50% to RSUs and 50% PSUs. The charts below show the elements of compensation that comprised the mix of total direct compensation for Mr. Ferguson and the average mix of total direct compensation for the other NEOs. The charts illustrate that approximately 64% of Mr. Ferguson’s total direct compensation and 55% of the average total direct compensation for the other NEOs was tied to the Company’s financial performance, which aligns their interests with those of the Company’s shareholders. The total direct compensation illustrated in the charts below does not include perquisites, retirement and other benefits.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Named Executive Officer</th>
<th>Percent of Fiscal Year 2017 Pay “At Risk”</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Thomas E. Ferguson</td>
<td>64%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paul W. Fehlman</td>
<td>52%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chris Bacius</td>
<td>44%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tara D. Mackey</td>
<td>42%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tim E. Pendley</td>
<td>48%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Messrs. Ferguson and Fehlman each have employment agreements with the Company. Our other NEOs do not have employment agreements. They are employed at will and are expected to demonstrate exceptional personal performance and leadership in order to continue serving as a member of the executive team.

Compensation Program Overview

The compensation committee of the board of directors has the responsibility for establishing, implementing and monitoring adherence to the Company’s compensation philosophy. The compensation committee ensures that the total compensation paid to the Company’s management team is fair, reasonable, competitive and proportionately performance-based. Generally, the types of compensation and benefits provided to the NEOs, are similar to those provided to other members of the management team.

Compensation Philosophy and Objectives

The compensation committee believes that the most effective executive compensation program is one that is designed to reward the achievement of specific annual, long-term and strategic goals by the Company and which aligns executives’ interests with those of our shareholders by rewarding performance specifically tied to the achievement of goals set by the Company, with the ultimate overall objective of increasing shareholder value.

The compensation committee evaluates both performance and compensation to ensure that the Company maintains its ability to retain and attract superior talent in key positions and that compensation provided to such executives remains competitive relative to the compensation paid to similarly situated executives of publicly held companies in the same industry. To that end, the compensation committee believes executive compensation packages provided by the Company to its executives, including the NEOs, should include both cash and equity-based compensation that reward performance as measured against established goals.

What We Do

- A significant portion of our executive officers’ total compensation is financial performance based.
- Performance measures are highly correlated to the creation of shareholder value.
- We review and benchmark pay relative to the market median of our industry peer group on an annual basis.
- Our executive compensation program is designed to encourage building long-term shareholder value and attract and retain high performance executive talent.
- We use annual cash incentive opportunities and equity-based awards to balance the Company’s short-term and long-term performance objectives.
- Our equity awards are equally weighted between time-vested RSUs, which vest ratably over a three-year period, and PSUs, which emphasize achievement of financial performance metrics over a three-year performance cycle.
The compensation committee engages an independent executive compensation consultant.

Our compensation committee conducts an annual review of all executive compensation program components to ensure alignment with our compensation objectives.

We implemented a Compensation Recovery Policy to protect the Company in the event of a financial restatement or an executive officer engages in serious misconduct.

We provide a limited number of employment agreements and executive perquisites.

We have stock ownership guidelines for directors and executive officers.

What We Don’t Do

- We do not provide tax gross ups.
- We do not recycle shares withheld for taxes.
- We do not permit pledging or hedging of Company securities.
- We do not pay dividends or dividend equivalents on unearned RSUs and PSUs until they vest.
- We do not reprice underwater equity awards.
- We do not have pension or supplemental executive retirement plans.

Role of Compensation Committee, its Compensation Consultant and the Chief Executive Officer in Compensation Decisions

The compensation committee makes all compensation decisions including equity awards for the executive management team.

The chief executive officer provides the compensation committee with an evaluation of the annual performance of each member of the executive management team (other than his own, which is subsequently reviewed by the compensation committee) and makes preliminary recommendations for base salary and incentive target levels for them. The compensation committee can exercise its discretion in modifying any recommended adjustments or awards to executives made by the chief executive officer.

The compensation committee, in executive session and without executive officers present, approves the chief executive officer’s pay levels, including the pay levels of the other executive members of the team.

The chief executive officer does not make recommendations to the compensation committee on his own pay levels.

The compensation committee has the authority to retain and terminate compensation advisors, including the authority to approve the terms and fees of any such arrangement. The compensation committee has engaged the services of Meridian Compensation Partners, LLC (“Meridian”), a national executive compensation consulting firm, to review and provide recommendations concerning all of the components of the Company’s executive compensation program. Meridian performs services solely on behalf of the compensation committee and does not perform any services for the Company.

Setting Executive Compensation

Based on our compensation philosophy and objectives, the compensation committee has structured the Company’s annual and long-term incentive based cash and non-cash executive compensation to motivate executives to achieve the short-term and long-term business goals set by the Company and reward the executives for achieving...
such goals. In furtherance of this, the compensation committee has the authority under its charter to engage an external compensation consulting firm to conduct a review of the Company’s total compensation program for the chief executive officer as well as for other members of the executive team.

The compensation committee has engaged Meridian to provide ongoing advisory services to the compensation committee, which services have included, but not been limited to, an executive compensation review for purposes of advising the compensation committee with respect to executive compensation for fiscal year 2017. In addition, Meridian provides the compensation committee with relevant market data and alternatives to consider when making compensation decisions for the chief executive officer and on the recommendations being made by the Company’s chief executive officer for executives other than the chief executive officer. The compensation committee did not and does not direct Meridian to perform its services in any particular manner or under any particular method. All of the decisions with respect to the Company’s executive compensation, however, are made by the compensation committee.

The compensation committee has the sole authority to hire and terminate the compensation consultant, and the compensation committee evaluates the compensation consultant annually. In fiscal year 2017, Meridian did not perform any other services for the Company other than those described above for the compensation committee. In accordance with Rule 10C-1(b)(4) under the Exchange Act, the compensation committee has determined that Meridian is independent and that no conflict of interest exists that would be required to be disclosed in the Company’s Proxy Statement pursuant to Item 407 of Regulation S-K.

Peer Group

In making compensation decisions, the compensation committee compares each element of the total compensation program against a group of comparable publicly held companies for benchmarking executive compensation. This peer group of companies, which is annually reviewed and updated by the compensation committee in consultation with Meridian, consists of companies against which the compensation committee believes the Company competes for talent and for shareholder investment.

In fiscal year 2017, the compensation committee reviewed a group of 15 companies in the electrical and lighting component manufacturing, metals fabrication, and galvanizing industries. These peer companies had a median revenue above $798 million for the most recently reported fiscal year end, which approximated AZZ’s projected revenue for fiscal year 2017 as disclosed to Meridian by the compensation committee. This group included:

- Altra Industrial Motion Corp.
- LSI Industries Inc.
- Encore Wire Corp.
- Powell Industries Inc.
- L.B. Foster Company
- Preformed Line Products Co.
- Franklin Electric Co Inc.
- Regal Beloit Corporation
- Generac Holdings, Inc.
- Team Inc.
- Haynes International Inc.
- Valmont Industries Inc.
- Hubbell Incorporated
- Woodward Inc.
- Littlefuse Inc.

For fiscal year 2017, Global Power Equipment Group, Inc. was removed from AZZ’s peer group because it is no longer publicly held and Dynamic Materials Corp. was removed due to its smaller market size as compared to AZZ. As a result, the compensation committee, in consultation with Meridian, believed Team Inc. and Woodward Inc. should be added to AZZ’s peer group companies as they believed them to be more appropriate peer group companies in terms of annual revenues, market capitalization and the markets in which AZZ competes.

Though the compensation committee considers the compensation practices of these peer companies in determining the overall compensation of the Company’s NEOs (including in determining base salaries, as described below), the compensation committee does not set target compensation at specific market percentiles. Based on this
An analysis, the compensation committee concluded that the compensation levels for the Company’s NEOs fell within the range of the observed market compensation levels. In addition to peer market data, compensation is determined based upon the individual’s experience level and performance as well as by internal pay equity (including the executive’s accountability and impact on Company operations). The compensation committee subjectively evaluates the relative importance of each NEO’s role to the Company as a whole, which results in certain executives receiving more total compensation than others (e.g., the Company’s chief executive officer is paid more than its chief financial officer). The compensation committee may also consider how the Company has performed relative to the industry peer group of companies listed above.

The compensation committee strives to develop total compensation packages for our executives comprised of a balanced combination of base salary, annual incentive awards, and long-term compensation. The overall compensation of our executive officers, including the employment agreements with our chief executive officer and chief financial officer, utilizes a combination of these forms of compensation. However, the compensation committee does not establish a targeted mix or formula in allocating total compensation across these pay components. In setting executives’ compensation, the compensation committee also reviews the total compensation that each respective officer potentially could receive over the next several years under scenarios contemplating the executive’s continued employment or retirement during the period.

Fiscal Year 2016 Say-On-Pay Vote

At the Company’s fiscal year 2016 Annual Meeting, over 97% of the shareholders casting a ballot voted to approve the Company’s executive compensation program. After considering these non-binding, advisory vote results, the compensation committee believes the results reflect the shareholders’ concurrence that the Company’s executive compensation program is designed in an appropriate manner, consistent with sound corporate governance principles, and supports the Company’s strategic and business objectives. Additionally, the compensation committee believes these results demonstrate shareholders’ concurrence that the executive compensation program maintains an appropriate balance between utilizing responsible, measured pay practices and effectively ensuring the interests of the NEOs are incentivized by, and aligned with, the creation of long-term value for the Company’s shareholders. Consequently, the compensation committee intends to continue following the executive compensation philosophy, policies and practices it has historically utilized, and update certain compensation elements from time to time as market compensation trends evolve to best practices. The Company’s shareholders approved at the fiscal year 2015 Annual Meeting an annual frequency for this shareholder vote to approve the Company’s executive compensation program, and the next ‘say-on-frequency” vote will be held at the Company’s
### Fiscal Year 2017 Executive Compensation Components

#### For the fiscal year ended February 28, 2017, the principal components of compensation for NEOs were:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Compensation Element</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cash</strong></td>
<td>Base Salary</td>
<td><strong>Description</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Annual Incentive Opportunity</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Long-Term Incentives</strong></td>
<td>Restricted Stock Units</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Performance Share Units</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Retirement</strong></td>
<td>401(k) Plan</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Other</strong></td>
<td>Employment Agreements</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Change-in-Control Agreements</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Other Benefits</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Base Salary

- The Company provides NEOs and other employees with a base salary to compensate them for services rendered during the fiscal year. Base salaries for NEOs are determined for each executive based on his or her position and responsibility considering experience and external market data. Base salaries are designed so that pay opportunities for a given position will be between 75% and 125% of the median market base salary.

During its review of base salaries for executives, the compensation committee primarily considers:

- Market data and advisory services periodically provided by Meridian, the compensation committee’s external consultant;
- Internal data regarding the executive’s compensation, both individually and relative to other executive officers;
- Individual performance of the executive.

Salary levels are typically considered annually as part of the Company’s performance review process as well as upon a promotion or other changes in job responsibility.
In determining salary increases, and also in determining short-term cash incentive awards under the Senior Management Bonus Plan and long-term incentive compensation awards under the 2014 Plan, for the NEOs for fiscal year 2017, the compensation committee utilized qualitative factors to evaluate their performances and recognize their contributions and leadership during fiscal year 2017. In particular, the compensation committee considered each officer's contributions to achieving (i) the identification, review, analysis and evaluation of potential targets to acquire by the Company during the year, (ii) the implementation of integration plans for acquired businesses, (iii) the Company's overall financial performance in light of challenging economic conditions, (iv) the Company's ability to manage costs and reduce corporate spend, (v) the successful implementation of several corporate initiatives during the year, (vi) the performance of the Company's stock price, as compared to its competitors and (vii) the Company's business development results, as measured by new and increased business from both domestic and international customers during the year.

The amount of each officer's salary and incentive awards was based on the compensation committee’s subjective evaluation of each officer’s performance, the relative responsibilities of the officers and the compensation committee’s sense of fair and equitable relative distributions of salaries and awards. The compensation committee also considered the salaries and awards paid in prior years and comparable market compensation data from the industry peer group described above. In making its evaluation and the resulting salary and award decisions for fiscal year 2017, the compensation committee took into account and acknowledged:

- Mr. Ferguson’s efforts in leading the Company to focus on operational excellence, enhancing the sales force, driving accountability throughout the platforms, and in building a high performance team of executives to execute the Company’s current growth and long-term strategy;
- Mr. Fehlman’s efforts in continuing to further develop AZZ’s financial team, enhancing its Tax, Treasury and Internal Audit functions while improving the Company’s debt structure, cash flow and relations with investors and financial institutions;
- Mr. Bacius’s efforts in driving the strategic planning process, developing a robust M&A pipeline, establishing a disciplined process for acquisition evaluation, integration and general portfolio management activities;
- Ms. Mackey’s leadership in managing the Company’s legal function focused on compliance, risk mitigation, M&A transactions, supporting corporate initiatives, litigation management, implementing corporate governance best practices, maintaining awareness of changes in the regulatory environment where AZZ does business, and serving in an interim role as Chief Human Resources Officer;
- Mr. Pendley’s leadership and management of the galvanizing business during challenging market conditions and developing a high growth organic strategy based on customer service, operational excellence and implementing several innovative technology initiatives for the Galvanizing Segment; and
- The relative value to AZZ of the contributions made by each officer.

Additionally, the compensation committee considered the compensation of the Company’s NEOs relative to similarly situated officers of companies against which the compensation committee believes the Company competes for talent and for shareholder investment, as discussed above.

In fiscal year 2017, our NEOs received salary adjustments for their performance on several ongoing business activities and, with respect to certain officers, on the successful identification and evaluation of potential business acquisition targets, expanding the Company’s international business, assuming additional corporate responsibilities, product and market development initiatives and reducing corporate expenses. The following table details adjustment to the NEOs' base salaries for the fiscal year ended February 28, 2017.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>FY2016 Base Salary</th>
<th>FY2017 Base Salary</th>
<th>Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Thomas E. Ferguson</td>
<td>$690,000</td>
<td>$724,500</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paul W. Fehlman</td>
<td>$344,784</td>
<td>$365,472</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chris Bacius</td>
<td>$261,375</td>
<td>$280,978</td>
<td>7.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tara D. Mackey</td>
<td>$300,000</td>
<td>$330,000</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tim E. Pendley</td>
<td>$360,591</td>
<td>$373,212</td>
<td>3.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Performance-Based Incentive Compensation

The Senior Management Bonus Plan is an annual cash incentive program that provides the compensation committee the flexibility to promote high performance and achievement of corporate goals by NEOs, encourage the growth of shareholder value and allow key employees to share in the annual growth and profitability of the Company.

The Senior Management Bonus Plan provides guidelines for the calculation of annual non-equity incentive based compensation, subject to the compensation committee oversight and modification.

At the beginning of each fiscal year, the compensation committee approves the group of employees eligible to participate in the Senior Management Bonus Plan for that fiscal year and the various incentive levels under the Senior Management Bonus Plan based on the participant’s responsibility and impact on Company operations, with target award opportunities that are established as a percentage of base salary. For fiscal year 2017, the compensation committee approved annual short term incentive target award opportunities that ranged from 50% to 85% of base salary for the Company’s NEOs. Each NEO may earn up to 200% of his or her short term incentive target award opportunity by achieving a performance level of at least 125% of his or her annual performance targets. Therefore, the maximum award payments under the Senior Management Bonus Plan may not exceed 200% of base salary for Mr. Ferguson, 130% of base salary for Messrs. Fehlman and Pendley, 110% of base salary for Mr. Bacius and for Ms. Mackey. Payments made under the Senior Management Bonus Plan are forfeitable, and must be repaid to the Company by the applicable NEO, in the event the Company is required to restate its financial statements or an executive officer engages in serious misconduct.

Award payments are calculated (as a percentage of the target award opportunity) with respect to each applicable performance metric, as described below, as set forth in the following based on the percentage of performance target achieved in accordance with the following schedule:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>% of Performance Target Achieved</th>
<th>% of Target Bonus Opportunity Earned</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&lt;51</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>125</td>
<td>200%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For every percentage point increase in the percentage of performance target achieved, the percentage of target bonus opportunity earned increased by two percentage points between the minimum payment thresholds and the target bonus opportunity. For every percentage point increase in the percentage of performance target achieved above the target bonus opportunity and the maximum target payout opportunity, the percentage of target bonus opportunity earned increased by four percentage points.

As described below, the compensation committee determines the percentage of the performance target that has been achieved for each performance objective assigned to a NEO and the corresponding percentage of the target bonus opportunity earned. For example, if the compensation committee sets a target cash award of $10,000 based upon achievement of a target level of diluted earnings per share of $1.00 and if the Company’s actual earnings per share is $1.10, the compensation committee would determine that the recipient of the award had achieved 110% of his target level and would be entitled to a cash award of 140% of his target cash award amount resulting in a cash award of $14,000.

The compensation committee assigned each NEO one or more quantitative performance goals that relate to AZZ’s strategic operating plan for fiscal year 2017. In setting the performance goals for these other performance...
objectives, the compensation committee considered the strategic plan of the Company, the performance of the Company during the prior fiscal year, the anticipated economic conditions for the fiscal year, and any specific circumstances facing the Company or its markets during the coming fiscal year. Levels for revenue, operating income, earnings per share, cash flow and return on assets objectives are set in alignment with the Company’s strategic plan (which includes projections relating to competition, innovation, supply chain and workforce development, and anticipated new legislation), and expectations set by the board of directors regarding earnings and Company performance, international and domestic market indicators.

The chart below shows the performance measures, the weight of each performance measure and target performance goals assigned to each NEO, actual performance achieved for fiscal year 2017 and the percentage of target performance achieved for fiscal year 2017:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Named Executive Officer</th>
<th>Weight</th>
<th>Performance Measure</th>
<th>FY2017 Target Performance Goal</th>
<th>FY2017 Achieved Performance</th>
<th>% of Target Performance Achieved</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Ferguson</td>
<td></td>
<td>Diluted earnings per share (“EPS”)</td>
<td>$3.29</td>
<td>$2.44</td>
<td>74%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>FY2017 Cash Flow</td>
<td>$82,600,000</td>
<td>$67,786,369</td>
<td>82.06%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Fehlman</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Bacius</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ms. Mackey</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Pendley</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(1) Includes an adjustment of $0.11 per share, or $2.8 million after tax, of non-recurring restructuring charges that occurred in the second quarter of fiscal year 2017.

(2) Cash Flow from operations minus capital expenditures.

(3) Segment ROA is calculated as a percentage using a numerator of tax adjusted segment operating income, divided by a denominator of total segment assets, minus segment current liabilities, plus segment current portion of long-term debt.

(4) Segment operating income consists of net sales less cost of sales, specifically identifiable selling, general and administrative expenses and other income and expense items that are specifically identifiable to a segment.

Upon completion of the fiscal year, the compensation committee assesses the actual achieved performance of the Company against each performance objective to determine the percentage of target performance achieved. Actual performance achieved was based on our audited financial statements. Based on the percentage of target performance achieved, the compensation committee determined the percentage of target award opportunity earned by each NEO. The amounts paid to each NEO are disclosed in the Summary Compensation Table on page 53 in the column titled “Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation.”

Awards made to our NEOs under the Senior Management Bonus Plan for performance in fiscal year 2017 are reported in column (g) of the Summary Compensation Table of this Proxy Statement on page 53.
Long-Term Incentive Compensation

On July 8, 2014, the Company’s shareholders approved the adoption of the 2014 Plan, which allows the Company to grant stock options, SARs, restricted shares, RSUs, performance awards (including PSUs) and other stock-based awards.

The purpose of our long-term incentive compensation program is to:

• enhance the link between the creation of shareholder value and long-term executive incentive compensation;
• provide an opportunity for increased equity ownership in the Company by directors and executives;
• maintain competitive levels of total compensation; and
• facilitate compliance with the policy of the board of directors, as described above under the heading “Stock Ownership Guidelines,” requiring AZZ’s executive officers to hold shares of AZZ’s common stock.

During fiscal year 2017, the compensation packages of our executive officers include long-term compensation in the form of 50% RSUs and 50% PSUs, which, during fiscal year 2017, were granted under the 2014 Plan.

The RSUs and PSUs granted accrue dividend equivalents during the restricted vesting period or performance cycle, which will be paid either in cash or shares of AZZ common stock at the discretion of the compensation committee upon the vesting of the underlying award.

The compensation committee, acting in consultation with the Company’s senior management and the full board of directors, determined that, beginning in fiscal 2016, the Company would grant PSUs in lieu of granting SARs for purposes of the long-term incentive compensation component of the Company’s total executive compensation program. PSUs allow the compensation committee to grant awards with values attributable to financial metrics other than stock price, which, though important to the compensation committee as a measure of Company performance, is already the sole factor in determining the value of the RSUs granted by the Company. Granting PSUs rather than SARs accordingly allows the Company to provide its senior management with performance-based compensation tied to additional financial measures critical to the Company’s long-term financial success.

SARs previously granted to the Company’s executive officers under the 2005 Plan had a grant price equal to the closing price of one share of AZZ common stock on the NYSE on the day of grant (the “grant price”). The SARs granted may be exercised for the period of time from their respective vesting dates until the seventh anniversary of the grant date. On each of the first three anniversaries of the grant date, one-third (1/3) of the SARs granted shall vest. The exercise price is equal to the closing price of one share of AZZ common stock on the NYSE on the day of exercise (the “exercise price”). SARs are settled in shares of AZZ common stock of an amount equal to the excess value of the grant date price over the exercise price.

The final vesting date for outstanding SAR awards under the 2005 Plan was on March 1, 2017, and all of such outstanding and unexercised SARs will expire on or prior to March 1, 2021.

On April 27, 2016, the compensation committee awarded an aggregate of 43,585 RSUs and an aggregate of 24,011 PSUs to certain officers and employees of the Company under the 2014 Plan. The RSUs vest ratably during a three-year period from the grant date, with one-third (1/3) of the RSUs vesting on each of the first, second and third anniversaries of the grant date beginning on April 27, 2017, and are settled in shares of AZZ common stock. The PSUs cliff vest at the end of a three-year period based on financial performance metrics and are settled, if at all, in shares of AZZ common stock.

RSU award levels are determined based on market data, vary among participants based on their positions within the Company, and are granted after the Company publicly announces its financial results for the prior full fiscal year. To determine target awards of RSUs, the compensation committee first establishes a target value to be delivered to each NEO through long-term equity awards. In setting target value, the compensation committee considered various factors, including the following:
• the practice of granting equity awards only once every year;
• the emphasis placed on equity in the mix of total compensation;
• the officer’s experience and performance;
• the scope, responsibility and business impact of the NEO’s position;
• the perceived retention value of the total compensation package in light of the competitive labor market;
• alignment with AZZ’s compensation philosophy and objectives;
• cost and dilution impact;
• grant practices of our industry peer group; and
• input and advice from our executive compensation consultant.

No particular weighting was assigned to the factors described above in the determination of the compensation mix for fiscal year 2017. Once the target value has been established, the compensation committee determines the value of a RSU award based on the closing share price of the Company’s common stock on the date of grant. For fiscal year 2017, the compensation committee granted RSUs to our NEOs with a grant date value of 50% of the established target value for total long-term incentive equity grants.

In determining the amount of RSUs and PSUs to be granted to Mr. Ferguson, the compensation committee considered his experience serving as an executive officer of a public company, his extensive strategic management experience both domestically and internationally in the industries in which the Company operates, and his track record for helping businesses achieve growth, both organically and through acquisitions in the global marketplace.

The number and value of RSUs and PSUs granted to each of the NEOs in fiscal year 2017 can be found in the table provided below under the caption “Grants of Plan Based Awards” of this Proxy Statement.

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Adjusted ROA is</th>
<th>Net Income</th>
<th>Total Assets – (Current Liabilities – Current Debt)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>% of Adjusted ROA Target Achieved</td>
<td>% of Target Payment Amount Paid</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;75</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75-124</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>200%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>125-174</td>
<td>200%</td>
<td>300%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;174</td>
<td>300%</td>
<td>400%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For every percentage point increase in the percentage of the Adjusted ROA target achieved, the percentage of the target payment under the PSUs will increase by four percentage points. Adjusted ROA is

---

The compensation committee determined that 8.5% Adjusted ROA was an appropriate target based, in part, on the median three-year Adjusted ROA of the specific industry peer group discussed below of 5.2% along with the compensation committee’s review of the Company’s historical Adjusted ROA. Award recipients will not be entitled to receive any payment under the PSUs unless Adjusted ROA exceeds at least 75% of the target Adjusted ROA, and award recipients will not receive any incremental increase in payment under the PSUs based on the achievement of Adjusted ROA in excess of 125% of the target Adjusted ROA. Within these constraints, payments under the PSUs will be determined as follows:

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>% of Adjusted ROA Target Achieved</th>
<th>% of Target Payment Amount Paid</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

The net income is calculated as follows:

\[
\text{Net Income} = \frac{\text{Net Income}}{\text{Total Assets} - (\text{Current Liabilities} - \text{Current Debt})}
\]
In addition to the Adjusted ROA performance metric, the target PSUs granted for fiscal year 2017 are subject to a potential modifier based on the Company’s total shareholder return (“TSR”) relative to the TSR for a specific industry peer group selected solely for PSUs over the same three-year period, giving effect to any dividends paid during such period.

In the event the Company’s TSR for such period ranks within the top quartile relative to the specific industry peer group companies described below, the percentage of the target payment under the PSUs will be increased by an additional 25%, up to a maximum award payout of 250% of the target award. Solely for purposes of illustration, the Company is providing the sample calculations below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>% of Adjusted ROA Target Achieved (No TSR Modifier)</th>
<th>% of Target Award Amount Paid</th>
<th>% of Adjusted ROA Target Achieved (With TSR Modifier)</th>
<th>% of Target Award Amount Paid</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>76%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>76%</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>125%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>125%</td>
<td>125%</td>
<td>125%</td>
<td>250%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Upon completion of the three-year performance cycle ending on February 28, 2019 relating to the PSUs granted in fiscal year 2017, the compensation committee shall determine the amount of Adjusted ROA achieved by the Company for such period based on the Company’s audited financial statements and whether or not the Company’s relative TSR for such period ranks within the top quartile of the specific industry peer group.

The compensation committee has selected a specific industry peer group solely for the TSR modifier applicable to PSUs to be comprised of companies similar to the Company based on (i) status as a publically held company with products or end markets similar to those of the Company’s which have small to mid-cap market capitalization, (ii) consideration of industry peers as determined by Institutional Shareholder Services Inc., (iii) equity analyst groups, and (iv) companies based in the United States. The compensation committee selected the specific industry peer group below for the TSR modifier, rather than the Company’s peer group described on page 40, because it believed that this specific industry peer group provided for a consistent comparison with the Company’s business with respect to the TSR metric and was therefore a more appropriate means of evaluating the Company’s TSR relative to an industry standard level of TSR.

For the PSUs granted in fiscal year 2017, the specific industry peer group consists of the following companies:

- Dynamic Materials Corp.
- MasTec, Inc.
- Enersys, Inc.
- Powell Industries Inc.
- L.B. Foster Company
- Preformed Line Products Co.
- Littlefuse, Inc.
- Regal Beloit Corporation
- Franklin Electric Co., Inc.
- Team Inc.
- Haynes International Inc.
- Valmont Industries Inc.

For fiscal year 2017, Global Power Equipment Group, Inc. (“Global Power”) was removed from AZZ’s specific industry peer group and replaced with Littlefuse, Inc. as a result of Global Power announcing its expectation to be delisted from the NYSE.
Benefits and Perquisites

The Company provides benefits to its salaried employees including health care coverage, life and long-term disability insurance benefits, 401(k) matching contributions, and reimbursement for educational expenses. In addition to the aforementioned benefits provided to all employees generally, the Company provides the NEOs with nominal perquisites that the Company and the compensation committee believe are reasonable and consistent with the Company's overall compensation program to better enable the Company to attract and retain superior talent for key leadership positions. These perquisites include reimbursement of costs associated with club membership dues, executive physical exams and executive supplemental disability insurance.

Severance Benefits

The Company has employment agreements with two of its NEOs, Messrs. Ferguson and Fehlman. Additionally, the Company has entered into Change of Control Severance Agreements with certain key employees, including the NEOs. These agreements are designed to promote stability and continuity of senior management. Information regarding applicable payments under these agreements for the NEOs is provided under the heading “Employment Agreements” and “Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change of Control” of this Proxy Statement.

We do not have any arrangement providing for tax gross up payments of any compensation elements with any of our executive officers, including for severance and change in control benefits.

Retirement and Other Benefits

We do not maintain a defined benefit retirement program. Instead, all Company employees, including NEOs, are eligible to participate in the AZZ Inc. Employee Benefit Plan and Trust (the “Benefit Plan”). The Benefit Plan is a tax-qualified savings plan pursuant to which all Company employees, including the NEOs, can contribute a portion of their annual salary on a pre-tax basis up to certain limits prescribed by the Internal Revenue Service. The Company matches 100% of the first 1%, and 50% of contributions between 2% and 6%, of eligible pay that each employee contributes. Company matching contributions are fully vested after two years of service. Employees may select from among several mutual funds when investing their 401(k) account funds.

As of March 1, 2015, the Company elected to discontinue further contributions to its profit sharing plan for its employees and replaced the profit sharing plan with a new annual cash bonus incentive program that would drive the achievement of the Company’s annual goals in the form of a short-term incentive program so that all eligible employees of the Company would have the opportunity to participate. In lieu of future contributions to the profit sharing plan, all employees, including our NEOs, who already participated in a defined management incentive plan, received a 2.5% increase in base salaries. For all other employees whom did not previously participate in a defined incentive plan, an annual incentive bonus target of 7.5% may be paid based upon the achievement of specific performance metrics determined for each participant’s business unit.

Employee Stock Purchase Plan

AZZ’s Employee Stock Purchase Plan (the “ESPP”) allows eligible employees of the Company, including NEOs, to purchase shares of the Company’s common stock at a 15% discount through accumulated payroll deductions. Offerings under the ESPP have a duration of 24 months. Participation in the ESPP is entirely voluntary. Under the ESPP, employees are permitted to contribute 0% to 10% of their pay on an after-tax basis for a maximum contribution limit in any one year of $21,250 (85% of $25,000) and may not purchase more than 5,000 shares during any offering period. Participants may terminate or decrease their payroll deductions during an enrollment period by withdrawing the accumulated payroll deductions at any time prior to the end of the offering period.
Tax and Accounting Implications

Deductibility of Executive Compensation

The compensation committee and the board of directors, believe the Company’s compensation policies and practices are well balanced between the cash/equity mix utilized to incentivize executive management to achieve both short-term and long-term business objectives and it is no longer necessary for the Company to provide any executive with tax gross ups or other reimbursement for tax amounts that the executive might be required to pay under Section 4999 of the Internal Revenue Code. The Company believes that compensation paid under the Company’s compensation policies and practices actually serve to ensure that the Company’s compensation policies and practices are well balanced between the cash/equity mix utilized to incentivize executive management to achieve both short-term and long-term business objectives and it is no longer necessary for the Company to provide any executive with tax gross ups or other reimbursement for tax amounts that the executive might be required to pay under Section 4999 of the Internal Revenue Code.

The compensation committee, and the board of directors, believe the Company’s compensation policies and practices are well balanced between the cash/equity mix utilized to incentivize executive management to achieve both short-term and long-term business objectives and it is no longer necessary for the Company to provide any executive with tax gross ups or other reimbursement for tax amounts that the executive might be required to pay under Section 4999 of the Internal Revenue Code. The Company believes that compensation paid under the Company’s compensation policies and practices actually serve to ensure that the Company’s compensation policies and practices are well balanced between the cash/equity mix utilized to incentivize executive management to achieve both short-term and long-term business objectives and it is no longer necessary for the Company to provide any executive with tax gross ups or other reimbursement for tax amounts that the executive might be required to pay under Section 4999 of the Internal Revenue Code.

Compensation-Related Risk Management

The compensation committee believes that the Company’s compensation policies and practices actually serve to ensure that the Company’s compensation policies and practices are well balanced between the cash/equity mix utilized to incentivize executive management to achieve both short-term and long-term business objectives and it is no longer necessary for the Company to provide any executive with tax gross ups or other reimbursement for tax amounts that the executive might be required to pay under Section 4999 of the Internal Revenue Code. The Company believes that compensation paid under the Company’s compensation policies and practices actually serve to ensure that the Company’s compensation policies and practices are well balanced between the cash/equity mix utilized to incentivize executive management to achieve both short-term and long-term business objectives and it is no longer necessary for the Company to provide any executive with tax gross ups or other reimbursement for tax amounts that the executive might be required to pay under Section 4999 of the Internal Revenue Code.

STOCK OWNERSHIP GUIDELINES FOR EXECUTIVE OFFICERS

AZZ’s executive officers to hold shares with a value equal to or greater than the amount equal to 100% of the officer’s base salary, up to a maximum of 7,500 shares. All shares held by an executive officer are considered in the determination of compliance with this policy, including shares held under the Benefit Plan or AZZ’s director stock option plan.

The compensation committee believes that the Company’s compensation policies and practices actually serve to ensure that the Company’s compensation policies and practices are well balanced between the cash/equity mix utilized to incentivize executive management to achieve both short-term and long-term business objectives and it is no longer necessary for the Company to provide any executive with tax gross ups or other reimbursement for tax amounts that the executive might be required to pay under Section 4999 of the Internal Revenue Code. The Company believes that compensation paid under the Company’s compensation policies and practices actually serve to ensure that the Company’s compensation policies and practices are well balanced between the cash/equity mix utilized to incentivize executive management to achieve both short-term and long-term business objectives and it is no longer necessary for the Company to provide any executive with tax gross ups or other reimbursement for tax amounts that the executive might be required to pay under Section 4999 of the Internal Revenue Code.
Compensation Recovery Policy

On January 20, 2016, the board of directors adopted the AZZ Inc. Compensation Recovery Policy (the “Clawback Policy”), to provide a mechanism for the recovery of certain incentive based compensation should AZZ ever be required to restate its financial statements or an executive officer engages in serious misconduct. The Clawback Policy provides for the recovery of awards granted within three years of a restatement of AZZ’s financial statements and within one year of the misconduct of the applicable officer or employee. The Clawback Policy applies to all applicable incentive based compensation granted after the effective date of the Clawback Policy.

In connection with the adoption of the Clawback Policy, the Committee has amended each of the 2014 Plan and the Company’s Senior Management Bonus Plan and other incentive plans, to clarify that future awards granted under such plans following the effective date of the Clawback Policy is subject to the Clawback Policy. Following the effective date of the Clawback Policy, the Company will issue any RSUs, stock appreciation rights or PSUs under the 2014 Plan using award agreements updated to clarify that such future awards are subject to the Clawback Policy.

Anti-Hedging and Anti-Pledging Policies

The Company’s Insider Trading Policy prohibits directors and executive officers from engaging in speculative or hedging and pledging transactions or short sales and trading in “puts” and “calls” involving AZZ common stock.

COMPENSATION COMMITTEE INTERLOCKS AND INSIDER PARTICIPATION

No member of the compensation committee (i) was an officer or employee of the Company or a subsidiary of the Company during fiscal year 2017, (ii) was formerly an officer or employee of the Company or a subsidiary of the Company or (iii) has any relationship relative to the Company that is required to be disclosed pursuant to Item 404 of Regulation S-K. During fiscal year 2017, none of the Company’s executive officers served as (a) a member of a compensation committee of another company, one of whose executive officers served on the Company’s compensation committee, (b) a director of another company, one of whose executive officers served on the Company’s compensation committee, or (c) a member of a compensation committee of another company, one of whose executive officers served as one of the Company’s directors.
The compensation committee of the Company has reviewed and discussed the Compensation Discussion and Analysis required by Item 402(b) of Regulation S-K with management and as set forth in this Proxy Statement. Based on such review and discussions, the compensation committee recommended to the board of directors of the Company that the Compensation Discussion and Analysis be included in this Proxy Statement and incorporated by reference in the Company’s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended February 28, 2017.

THE COMPENSATION COMMITTEE

Daniel E. Berce, Chairman
Dr. H. Kirk Downey
Paul Eisman
Daniel R. Feehan
Kevern R. Joyce
Venita McCellon-
Allen
Ed McGough
## SUMMARY COMPENSATION TABLE

The table below summarizes the total compensation paid or earned by the NEOs for fiscal year 2017. The compensation committee reviews the executive’s current employment agreement for the fiscal year ended February 28, 2017, included in the Company’s Annual Report on Form 10-K.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name and Principal Position</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Salary ($)</th>
<th>Bonus ($)</th>
<th>Stock Awards/RSUs ($)</th>
<th>Option/SARs Awards ($)</th>
<th>Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation ($)</th>
<th>Change in Pension Value and Nonqualified Deferred Compensation Earnings ($)</th>
<th>All Other Compensation ($)</th>
<th>Total ($)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Thomas E. Ferguson</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paul W. Fehlman</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chris Bacius</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tara D. Mackey</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tim E. Pendley</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This amount includes 30,000 RSUs with a fair market value of $1,663,800 granted to Mr. Ferguson on October 10, 2016 in consideration for entering into the Amended CEO Agreement with the Company. The RSUs will cliff vest in full on September 29, 2019, included in the Company’s Annual Report on Form 10-K.

The amounts in this column reflect the cash awards granted under the Company’s Senior Management Bonus Plan.

All other compensation in column (i) consists of the perquisites as described in the table below entitled “Perquisites” included in footnote 11 to the Company’s audited financial statements for the fiscal year ended February 28, 2017, included in the Company’s Annual Report on Form 10-K.

The amounts in this column reflect the aggregate grant date fair market value calculated in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718 for SARs awards granted to the NEOs under the 2005 Plan. As of February 28, 2017, included in footnote 11 to the Company’s audited financial statements for the fiscal year ended February 28, 2017, included in the Company’s Annual Report on Form 10-K.

This amount includes 30,000 RSUs with a fair market value of $1,663,800 granted to Mr. Ferguson on October 10, 2016, which was $55.46.

The amounts in this column reflect the aggregate grant date fair market value calculated in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718 for SARs awards granted to the NEOs under the 2005 Plan.

The amounts in this column reflect the cash awards granted under the Company’s Senior Management Bonus Plan.

The amounts in this column reflect the aggregate grant date fair market value calculated in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718 for SARs awards granted to the NEOs under the 2005 Plan and the PSUs granted under the 2014 Plan. Assumptions used in the calculation of this amount are included in footnote 11 to the Company’s audited financial statements for the fiscal year ended February 28, 2017.
The following table shows the components comprising column (i) under the heading “All Other Compensation” in the Summary Compensation Table above:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Perquisites</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Contribution to 401(k) Plan(1)</th>
<th>Insurance Benefits(2)</th>
<th>Club Dues</th>
<th>Physical Exams</th>
<th>All Other Perquisites</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Thomas E. Ferguson</td>
<td>$9,476</td>
<td>$3,079</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>$1,600</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>$14,156</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Paul W. Fehlman</td>
<td>$5,369</td>
<td>$2,389</td>
<td>$2,542</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>$11,900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Chris Bacius</td>
<td>$9,389</td>
<td>$2,742</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>$13,731</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tara D. Mackey</td>
<td>$9,450</td>
<td>$2,012</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>$11,462</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tim E. Pendley</td>
<td>$9,349</td>
<td>$2,347</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>$13,296</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(1) Matching 401(k) contributions allocated by the Company during fiscal year 2017 to each of the NEOs pursuant to the Company’s Benefit Plan (which is more fully described on page 49 under the heading “Retirement and Other Benefits”).

(2) The value attributable to each of the NEOs pursuant to the AZZ Supplemental Individual Disability Insurance Plan.
The following table provides information about cash incentive awards and equity awards made during fiscal year 2017.
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The amounts in this column for the fiscal year ended February 28, 2017 reflect the aggregate grant date fair value of the awards granted in fiscal year 2017. These values were calculated in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718 for RSU and PSU awards and with FASB ASC Topic 725 for SAR awards. These awards include PSUs granted to the NEOs under the Company’s Senior Management Bonus Plan.

The amounts in this column for the fiscal year ended February 28, 2017 reflect the aggregate grant date fair value of the awards granted in fiscal year 2017. These values were calculated in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718 for RSU and PSU awards and with FASB ASC Topic 725 for SAR awards. These awards include PSUs granted to the NEOs under the Company’s Senior Management Bonus Plan.

The following table provides information about cash incentive awards and equity awards made during fiscal year 2017.

![Table](https://example.com/table.png)

The amounts in this column for the fiscal year ended February 28, 2017 reflect the aggregate grant date fair value of the awards granted in fiscal year 2017. These values were calculated in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718 for RSU and PSU awards and with FASB ASC Topic 725 for SAR awards. These awards include PSUs granted to the NEOs under the Company’s Senior Management Bonus Plan.

The amounts in this column for the fiscal year ended February 28, 2017 reflect the aggregate grant date fair value of the awards granted in fiscal year 2017. These values were calculated in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718 for RSU and PSU awards and with FASB ASC Topic 725 for SAR awards. These awards include PSUs granted to the NEOs under the Company’s Senior Management Bonus Plan.
### Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year-End

The following Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year-End table provides information on the holdings of SARs, RSUs, and PSUs by each of the NEOs as of February 28, 2017. Each SAR, RSU, and PSU is shown separately for each NEO.

#### Option/SAR Awards

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Grant Date</th>
<th>Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options/SARs (#)</th>
<th>Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options/SARs (#)</th>
<th>Option/SARs Exercise Price ($)</th>
<th>Option/SARs Expiration Date (3)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Thomas E. Ferguson</td>
<td>11/04/13</td>
<td>30,000</td>
<td>10,000</td>
<td>45.26</td>
<td>11/04/20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>03/01/14</td>
<td>17,648</td>
<td>8,823</td>
<td>43.92</td>
<td>03/01/21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paul W. Fehlman</td>
<td>03/01/14</td>
<td>5,392</td>
<td>2,697</td>
<td>43.92</td>
<td>03/01/21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chris Bacius</td>
<td>09/01/14</td>
<td>1,816</td>
<td>908</td>
<td>46.30</td>
<td>09/01/21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tara D. Mackey</td>
<td>05/09/14</td>
<td>1,808</td>
<td>903</td>
<td>43.89</td>
<td>05/09/21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tim E. Pendley</td>
<td>03/01/13</td>
<td>5,005</td>
<td></td>
<td>45.36</td>
<td>03/01/20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>03/01/14</td>
<td>4,118</td>
<td>2,059</td>
<td>43.92</td>
<td>03/01/21</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. Amounts in this column represent vested but unexercised SAR awards.
2. The SARs listed vest and become exercisable over a three-year period with one-third of the SARs vesting on each of the first, second, and third anniversaries of the grant date.
3. The SARs have a seven-year term.

#### Stock Awards

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Grant Date</th>
<th>Number of Shares or Units of Stock That Have Not Vested (#)(1)(2)</th>
<th>Market Value of Shares or Units of Stock That Have Not Vested ($)(3)</th>
<th>Equity Incentive Plan Awards:</th>
<th>Equity Incentive Plan Awards:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Number of Unearned Shares, Units or Other Rights That Have Not Vested(4)</td>
<td>Market or Payout Value of Unearned Shares, Units or Other Rights That Have Not Vested(5)(S)(3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thomas E. Ferguson</td>
<td>03/01/14</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>11/04/13</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>04/27/15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>04/27/16</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10/10/16</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paul W. Fehlman</td>
<td>03/01/14</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>11/04/13</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>04/27/15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>04/27/16</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10/10/16</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.

---
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Grant Date</th>
<th>Number of Shares or Units of Stock That Have Not Vested (###1)(2)</th>
<th>Market Value of Shares or Units of Stock That Have Not Vested ($)(3)</th>
<th>Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Number of Unearned Shares, Units or Other Rights That Have Not Vested(4)</th>
<th>Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Market or Payout Value of Unearned Shares, Units or Other Rights That Have Not Vested ($)(3)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chris Bacius</td>
<td>09/02/14</td>
<td>337</td>
<td>19,765</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>04/27/15</td>
<td>768</td>
<td>45,043</td>
<td>1,153</td>
<td>67,623</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tara D. Mackey</td>
<td>05/09/14</td>
<td>(5)</td>
<td>(6)</td>
<td>(5)</td>
<td>(6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>04/27/15</td>
<td>1,024</td>
<td>60,058</td>
<td>(7)</td>
<td>(7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>11/06/15</td>
<td>669</td>
<td>39,237</td>
<td>(7)</td>
<td>(7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>03/07/16</td>
<td>(7)</td>
<td>(7)</td>
<td>(7)</td>
<td>(7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>04/27/16</td>
<td>1,224</td>
<td>71,788</td>
<td>1,224</td>
<td>71,788</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tim E. Pendley</td>
<td>03/01/14</td>
<td>2,449</td>
<td>143,634</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>04/27/15</td>
<td>1,569</td>
<td>92,022</td>
<td>2,353</td>
<td>138,003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>04/27/16</td>
<td>1,961</td>
<td>115,013</td>
<td>1,961</td>
<td>115,013</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(1) Amounts in this column represent RSU awards, which vest ratably over a three-year period from the grant date (other than RSUs granted on or prior to March 1, 2014, which cliff vest in full three (3) years from the grant date.

(2) The amounts in this column include dividend equivalents, if applicable, accrued through February 28, 2017, of the underlying equity award that will vest if, and when the RSUs to which such dividend equivalent relate become vested.

(3) The fair market value of the RSU and the PSU awards is based upon the closing market price of AZZ common stock of February 28, 2017, which was $58.65.

(4) Amounts in this column represent PSU grants on April 27, 2015 and April 27, 2016, which have a three-year performance cycle and will vest and become payable, if at all, on the third anniversary of the grant date. The amounts in this column also include accrued dividend equivalents through February 28, 2017, that will vest if, and when the PSUs to which such dividend equivalents relate become vested.

(5) Represent RSU awards granted under AZZ’s 2005 Plan. RSUs do not earn dividend equivalents under the 2005 Plan.

(6) These RSUs cliff vest in full on March 1, 2017.

(7) These RSUs vest ratably over a three-year period beginning on April 27, 2016.
## OPTION/SAR EXERCISES AND STOCK VESTED FOR FISCAL YEAR 2017

The following table provides information regarding the vesting of RSUs and SARs exercised by NEOs during fiscal year 2017.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Option/SAR Awards</th>
<th>Stock Awards</th>
<th>Number of Shares Acquired on Exercise</th>
<th>Value Realized on Exercise</th>
<th>Number of Shares Acquired on Vesting</th>
<th>Value Realized on Vesting</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(1)</td>
<td>(2)</td>
<td>(3)</td>
<td>(4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thomas E. Ferguson</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paul W. Fehlman</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chris Bacius</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tara D. Mackey</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tim E. Pendley</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>7,025</td>
<td>(10)</td>
<td>428,736</td>
<td>(11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>8,131</td>
<td>(3)</td>
<td>957</td>
<td>(5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2,397</td>
<td>(7)</td>
<td>1,052</td>
<td>(9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3,318</td>
<td>(12)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Notes:

1. Awards exercised were SARs.
2. Awards vested were RSUs.
3. This number includes (i) 3,104 RSUs that vested on April 27, 2016 plus 27 accrued dividend equivalents, and (ii) 5,000 RSUs that vested on November 4, 2016. The 5,000 RSUs were awarded under the 2005 Plan and did not accrue dividend equivalents.
4. The value realized upon the vesting of (i) 3,104 RSUs and 27 accrued dividend equivalents based on the closing price of our common stock on the vesting date, April 27, 2016, of $57.47; and (ii) 5,000 RSUs based on the closing price of our common stock on the vesting date, November 4, 2016, of $52.65.
5. This number includes (i) 949 RSUs that vested on April 27, 2016 plus 8 accrued dividend equivalents.
6. The value realized upon the vesting of the RSUs is based on the closing price of our common stock on the vesting date, April 27, 2016, of $57.47.
7. This number includes (i) 1,980 RSUs that vested on March 1, 2016 plus 34 accrued dividend equivalents; and (ii) 380 RSUs that vested on April 27, 2016 plus 3 accrued dividend equivalents.
8. The value realized upon the vesting of (i) 1,980 RSUs plus 34 accrued dividend equivalents based on the closing price of our common stock on the vesting date of March 1, 2016, of $52.68; and (ii) 380 RSUs plus 3 accrued dividend equivalents based on the closing price of our common stock on the vesting date, April 27, 2016, of $57.47.
9. This number includes (i) 1,048 RSUs that vested on April 27, 2016 plus 4 accrued dividend equivalents.
10. Mr. Pendley exercised 5,110 SARs with a grant date price of $20.91 and exercised 6,328 SARs with a grant date price of $25.67. SARs did not convert into common stock on a one-for-one basis when exercised.
11. The SARs were settled in shares of AZZ common stock of an amount equal to the excess value of the grant date price over the exercise price of $60.03 on July 13, 2016.
12. This number includes (i) 2,536 RSUs that vested on March 1, 2016; and (ii) 776 RSUs that vested on April 27, 2016 plus 6 accrued dividend equivalents.
13. The value realized upon the vesting of (i) 2,536 RSUs based on the closing price of our common stock on the vesting date, March 1, 2016, of $52.68; and (ii) 776 RSUs plus 6 accrued dividend equivalents based on the closing price of our common stock on the vesting date, April 27, 2016, of $57.47.
POTENTIAL PAYMENTS UPON TERMINATION OR CHANGE OF CONTROL

Payments Made Upon Resignation, Termination, Death or Disability

Prior to a change of control, Mr. Ferguson’s employment agreement (the “Employment Agreement”) provides for the payment of severance benefits in certain circumstances and upon a change in control. These payments and benefits are summarized below and reflect obligations pursuant to employment agreements as well as pursuant to other agreements and arrangements, including, without limitation, the Amended CEO Agreement.

Prior to a change of control, Mr. Ferguson’s employment agreement provides that if the Company terminates Mr. Ferguson’s employment without Cause, he is entitled to receive (i) the amounts described in the first sentence of this paragraph, payable in a lump sum payment, and (ii) a cash amount equal to 12 months of his base salary, payable on the third anniversary of the date of termination. In Mr. Ferguson’s Amended CEO Agreement, “Cause” is defined as (i) his commission or conviction of, or the entering of a guilty plea or plea of no contest by him with respect to, a felony, the equivalent thereof, any other crime (other than a traffic violation or any other crime involving moral turpitude, fraud, embezzlement, theft, or sexual harassment) for which imprisonment is a possible punishment, or any other crime involving moral turpitude, fraud, embezzlement, theft, or sexual harassment; (ii) excessive absenteeism by Mr. Ferguson not related to death or disability or otherwise permitted by applicable law or the Company’s policies for sick leave, permitted vacations, or paid time off; (iii) Mr. Ferguson’s engaging in any activity (including, without limitation, alcohol or drug abuse) that injures (monetarily or otherwise) or induces affliction, or making deliberate or reckless misrepresentations that render him unqualified to hold his present position; (iv) Mr. Ferguson’s gross negligence or material malfeasance (including, without limitation, commission of any intentional act of fraud, misappropriation or theft against the Company or its affiliates; (v) Mr. Ferguson’s significant violation of any statutory or common law duty of loyalty to the Company or any of its affiliates; (vi) Mr. Ferguson’s material breach of any provision of the Amended CEO Agreement or code of conduct of the Company; or (vii) Mr. Ferguson’s refusal or failure to carry out the legitimate directives or instructions of the board of directors from Mr. Ferguson of notice describing such breach or failure in reasonable detail; provided, further, that in the case of clause (vi), Mr. Ferguson shall be entitled to no more than one opportunity to cure such matters for any reason.

In addition, if the Company does not renew the term of Mr. Ferguson’s employment agreement prior to a change of control, Mr. Ferguson’s equity awards vest and become exercisable when Mr. Ferguson’s employment terminates, unless Mr. Ferguson terminates his employment for Good Reason, in which case such equity awards shall be forfeited.

If, prior to a change of control, Mr. Ferguson were employed by the Company as of September 29, 2019, he would be entitled to certain payments and benefits (the “Severance Payment”) and (iii) a portion of the cash award he would have received under the Company’s Senior Management Bonus Plan, pro-rated as in effect on the third anniversary of the date of such termination and calculated as if Mr. Ferguson were employed through the end of the fiscal year, all qualitative goals have been achieved and all quantitative goals have been rated as of the date of such termination and calculated as if Mr. Ferguson were employed through the end of the relevant fiscal year, all qualitative goals have been rated as of the date of such termination and calculated as if Mr. Ferguson were employed through the end of the relevant fiscal year, all qualitative goals have been rated as of the date of such termination and calculated as if Mr. Ferguson were employed through the end of the relevant fiscal year.

Each of Messrs. Ferguson and Fehlman has an employment agreement with AZZ Incorporated, these agreements provide for the payment of severance benefits in certain circumstances and upon a change in control. These payments and benefits are summarized below and reflect obligations pursuant to employment agreements as well as pursuant to other agreements and arrangements, including, without limitation, the Amended CEO Agreement and the Amended CEO Agreement.

In Mr. Ferguson’s Amended CEO Agreement, “Good Reason” is defined as (i) the relocation by the Company of Mr. Ferguson’s principal place of employment of his principal place of employment of more than fifty (50) miles from the location of his principal place of employment; (ii) a reduction by the Company in Mr. Ferguson’s base salary to an amount less than Mr. Ferguson’s base salary in effect immediately prior to a change of control; (iii) Mr. Ferguson’s relocation; (iv) a reduction by the Company in Mr. Ferguson’s annual target bonus for any reason; (v) the Company’s failure to perform any of its obligations under any agreement with Mr. Ferguson; or (vi) the Company’s failure to comply with applicable law or the Company’s policies for sick leave, permitted vacations, or paid time off; (vii) Mr. Ferguson’s engaging in any activity (including, without limitation, alcohol or drug abuse) that injures (monetarily or otherwise) or induces affliction, or making deliberate or reckless misrepresentations that render him unqualified to hold his present position; (viii) the Company’s refusal or failure to carry out the legitimate directives or instructions of the board of directors; or (ix) the Company’s violation of any material written policy or code of conduct of the Company; or (x) the Company’s termination of Mr. Ferguson’s employment without Cause within fifteen (15) days after Mr. Ferguson’s receipt of written notice from the Company describing such breach or failure in reasonable detail; provided, further, that in the case of clause (ix), Mr. Ferguson shall be entitled to no more than one opportunity to cure such matters for any reason.

In Mr. Ferguson’s Amended CEO Agreement, “Cause” is defined as (i) his commission or conviction of, or the entering of a guilty plea or plea of no contest by him with respect to, a felony, the equivalent thereof, any other crime (other than a traffic violation or any other crime involving moral turpitude, fraud, embezzlement, theft, or sexual harassment) for which imprisonment is a possible punishment, or any other crime involving moral turpitude, fraud, embezzlement, theft, or sexual harassment; (ii) excessive absenteeism by Mr. Ferguson not related to death or disability or otherwise permitted by applicable law or the Company’s policies for sick leave, permitted vacations, or paid time off; (iii) Mr. Ferguson’s engaging in any activity (including, without limitation, alcohol or drug abuse) that injures (monetarily or otherwise) or induces affliction, or making deliberate or reckless misrepresentations that render him unqualified to hold his present position; (iv) Mr. Ferguson’s gross negligence or material malfeasance (including, without limitation, commission of any intentional act of fraud, misappropriation or theft against the Company or its affiliates; (v) Mr. Ferguson’s significant violation of any statutory or common law duty of loyalty to the Company or any of its affiliates; (vi) Mr. Ferguson’s material breach of any provision of the Amended CEO Agreement or code of conduct of the Company; or (vii) Mr. Ferguson’s refusal or failure to carry out the legitimate directives or instructions of the board of directors.
Mr. Ferguson’s base salary, unless such reduction is rescinded within fifteen (15) days after the date of receipt by the board of directors from Mr. Ferguson of notice referring to this provision and describing such material breach; or (v) a material breach by the Company of any material provision of Mr. Ferguson’s Amended CEO Agreement.

Payments Made Upon a Change In Control

Ferguson Change In Control Agreement. If, during the period of time beginning on the date a definitive agreement is executed and ending on the date one year following a change in control, Mr. Ferguson’s employment is terminated for any reason other than by the Company for Cause or by Mr. Ferguson without Good Reason (in each case as defined in his employment agreement), the Company would be required to pay him (i) his full base salary through the date of termination and (ii) a payment equal to 299% of his base salary (without giving effect to any reduction in base salary serving as grounds for termination for Good Reason) (“Ferguson’s Change In Control Severance Payment”), in each case through a lump sum payment to be made promptly following Mr. Ferguson’s change in control. In addition, upon such a termination of employment, Mr. Ferguson would be entitled under any compensation plan of the Company at the time such payments are due; a lump sum payment of his other compensation, which amounts are described in the Summary Compensation Table above, and Mr. Ferguson’s Amended CEO Agreement.

Fehlman Change In Control Agreement. Mr. Fehlman’s employment was terminated for any reason other than by the Company for Cause or by Mr. Fehlman without Good Reason (in each case as defined in his employment agreement), the Company would be required to pay him (i) his full base salary through the date of termination and (ii) a payment equal to 200% of his base annual cash compensation, which consists of his base salary plus the average amount of cash bonus actually paid to him by the Company with respect to the five (5) fiscal years of the Company immediately preceding the occurrence of an event causing him to become entitled to receive a severance payment in an amount equal to two times his base amount, as defined in Section 280G(b)(3) of the Internal Revenue Code, and the vesting and immediate exercisability of all stock options and RSUs then held by the executive should be accelerated effective as of the date of termination. The Executive Change in Control Severance Agreements contain provisions identical to those described above for Mr. Ferguson with respect to the vesting and exercisability of all awards held by Mr. Fehlman in connection with any change in control of the Company.

Executive Change In Control Severance Agreements. The Executive Change in Control Severance Agreements provide that if the executive’s employment is terminated within one year following a change in control for any reason other than for Cause or by the executive for Good Reason (in each case as defined in his employment agreement), the Company must pay him (i) his full base salary through the date of termination and (ii) a payment equal to 299% of his base salary (without giving effect to any reduction in base salary serving as grounds for termination for Good Reason) (“Executive Change In Control Severance Payment”), in each case through a lump sum payment to be made promptly following a change in control. The Executive Change in Control Severance Agreements provide that if the executive’s employment is terminated before one year following a change in control for any reason other than for Cause or disability, or by the executive for Good Reason, he shall be entitled to a lump sum payment of his other compensation, which amounts are described in the Summary Compensation Table above, and Mr. Ferguson’s Amended CEO Agreement.

If the executive’s employment is terminated within one year following a change in control for any reason other than for Cause or by the executive for Good Reason, such severance payment would be made in addition to any payments due to the executive under any other severance plan or arrangement. The Executive Change in Control Severance Agreements also provide that if the executive’s employment is terminated within one year following a change in control for any reason other than for Cause or disability, or by the executive for Good Reason, the executive would be entitled to a lump sum payment of his other compensation, which amounts are described in the Summary Compensation Table above, and Mr. Ferguson’s Amended CEO Agreement.
• “Cause” as used in the Executive Change in Control Severance Agreements is defined as (1) conviction of a crime involving moral turpitude or providing for imprisonment, (2) commission of any willful malfeasance or gross negligence in the discharge of his or her duties to the Company or any of its subsidiaries, having a material adverse effect on the Company or any of its subsidiaries or (3) failure to timely correct after written notice, any specific failure in performance of the duties of his or her position with the Company.

• “Good Reason” as used in such Executive Change in Control Severance Agreements includes, with respect to each executive:
  (A) a substantial adverse alteration in the nature or status of his or her responsibilities from those in effect immediately prior to the change in control;
  (B) a reduction in his or her annual base salary in effect on the date of the change in control;
  (C) the relocation of the Company’s principal executive offices or the Company's requiring the executive to be based anywhere other than a site less than thirty (30) miles from the site where he or she is now principally based;
  (D) the failure by the Company, without his or her consent, to pay to him or her any portion of his or her current compensation;
  (E) the failure by the Company to continue or replace any compensation plan material to his or her total compensation or the failure to continue his or her participation therein on a basis not materially less favorable, as existed at the time of the change in control;
  (F) the failure of the Company to continue to provide him or her with benefits substantially similar to those enjoyed by him or her under deferred compensation plans, life insurance, medical, health and accident, or disability or vacation plans or policies in which he or she was participating at the time of the change in control;
  (G) the failure of the Company to obtain a satisfactory agreement from any successor to assume and agree to perform the Change in Control Severance Agreement; or
  (H) any purported termination of his or her employment by the Company other than because of total disability, death or for Cause.

The Company has an opportunity to correct the matters specified in clauses (A), (B), (E), (F), (G), or (H) of the immediately preceding sentence before the circumstances constitute Good Reason.
POTENTIAL PAYMENTS

Executive’s employment as the result of voluntary termination, involuntary or death of the executive’s separation from employment (whether voluntary or involuntary) for “Cause” (defined in the Employment Agreement as a termination of the executive’s employment for Cause will result in a payment of $0 to the executive) is beyond the scope of this table and is not a compensation plan benefit. The Compensation Committee believes that the Severance agreements were intentionally designed to provide a financial cushion to the executive in the event of a termination of employment. Additionally, the Compensation Committee believes that such termination agreements will reduce the risk of the executive taking actions that may involve a breach of fiduciary duties or could be perceived to be a conflict of interest.

The following table reflects the amount of compensation to each of the executive officers for various potential termination scenarios. The amounts included in the table are estimated as of December 31, 2022.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TRIGGERING EVENTS</th>
<th>Termination of Employment Before Change in Control</th>
<th>Termination of Employment Within One Year After Change in Control</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Death/Disability</td>
<td>Termination for Cause</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Ferguson</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$5,084,340</td>
<td>$2,898,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Fehlman</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$933,475</td>
<td>$840,586</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Bacius</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$313,548</td>
<td>$154,538</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TRIGGERING EVENTS</td>
<td>Termination of Employment Before Change in Control</td>
<td>Termination of Employment Within One Year After Change in Control</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Pendley</td>
<td>Death/Disability: $720,431, Termination Without Cause: $242,588</td>
<td>Death/Disability: $1,224,266, Termination Without Cause: $1,224,266</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mr. Fehlman’s base salary for a period of 24 months plus two times the average annual base salary payable by the Company pursuant to Mr. Fehlman’s employment agreement.

This amount is Mr. Fehlman’s base salary for a period of 12 months plus two times the average annual base salary payable by the Company pursuant to Mr. Fehlman’s employment agreement with the Company. If, but in any case a period of at least 24 months. This amount is Mr. Fehlman’s base salary for 24 months plus two times the average annual base salary payable by the Company pursuant to Mr. Fehlman’s employment agreement.
AUDIT COMMITTEE REPORT

AZZ’s audit committee was comprised of the following directors: Messrs. Feehan (chairman), Berce, Eisman, Pirnat and Purvis. The board of directors has determined that all members of the audit committee are independent as that term is defined in the NYSE’s listing standards and Section 10A(m)(3) of the Exchange Act, and that each member qualifies as an audit committee financial expert as defined in the SEC rules adopted under the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

The audit committee has sole authority for the appointment and replacement of the independent auditor and is directly responsible for the compensation and oversight of the work of the independent auditor. The independent auditor reports directly to the audit committee. The audit committee reviews with the auditors the plan and scope of the annual audit. It reviews with management and the independent auditor the annual audited financial statements and recommends to the board of directors whether they should be included in AZZ’s annual report. It similarly reviews quarterly financial reports and all earnings press releases. The audit committee also has general oversight of AZZ’s accounting, financial reporting and internal audit function. Management is responsible for the preparation, presentation and integrity of AZZ’s financial statements, accounting and financial reporting principles, internal controls and procedures designed to ensure compliance with accounting standards, applicable laws and regulations.

BDO, an independent registered public accounting firm and our independent auditor, is responsible for performing an independent audit of the consolidated financial statements in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States) (the “PCAOB”).

The audit committee members’ functions are not intended to duplicate or to certify the activities of management and BDO. The audit committee serves an oversight role, providing advice, counsel and direction to management and BDO on the basis of information it receives, discussions with management and BDO, and the experience of the audit committee’s members in business, financial and accounting matters.

The audit committee operates under a written charter, which was adopted by the board of directors. A copy of the full text of the charter is available on AZZ’s website at www.azz.com. The audit committee reviews and assesses the adequacy of its charter on an annual basis.

The audit committee has:

• viewed and discussed the audited consolidated financial statements with management;
• discussed with BDO the independence of BDO and the matters, if any, required to be discussed by PCAOB Auditing Standard No. 16 (Codification of Statements on Auditing Standards, AU § 380), as amended; and
• received the letter and the written disclosures from BDO required by Rule 3520 of the PCAOB.

Based on the review and discussions referred to in the preceding paragraph, the audit committee recommended to the board of directors that the audited consolidated financial statements be included in AZZ’s Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission for the fiscal year ended February 28, 2017.

AUDIT COMMITTEE

Daniel R. Feehan, Chairman

Daniel E. Berce
Paul Eisman
Stephen E. Pirnat
Steven R. Purvis
PROPOSAL 3
RATIFICATION OF APPOINTMENT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

The audit committee of the board of directors has selected BDO USA, LLP (“BDO”) to serve as our independent registered public accounting firm for the fiscal year ending February 28, 2018, subject to your ratification.

The board of directors is asking you to ratify the selection of BDO. Although our bylaws do not require this ratification, the board of directors believes that the selection of the independent registered public accounting firm is an important matter for shareholder consideration and that such a proposal provides shareholders with an important opportunity to provide direct feedback to the board of directors on an important issue of corporate governance. If our shareholders do not ratify the selection of BDO, we will consider that action as feedback to the audit committee and the board of directors to consider the selection of a different accounting firm. Even if you do ratify the selection of BDO, the audit committee may select a different independent registered public accounting firm, subject to ratification by the full board of directors, whenever it determines that such a change would be in the best interests of AZZ and its shareholders.

Representatives of BDO will be present at the Annual Meeting to respond to questions and will have the opportunity to make a statement.

RELATIONSHIP WITH INDEPENDENT AUDITORS

Independent Auditor Fees

The following table presents fees incurred for professional services rendered by BDO, our independent auditors for our fiscal year ended February 28, 2017, and our fiscal year ended February 29, 2016.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Service Type</th>
<th>February 28, 2017</th>
<th>February 29, 2016</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Audit Fees</td>
<td>$571,518</td>
<td>$543,555</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Audit-Related Fees (2)</td>
<td>$15,000</td>
<td>$15,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tax Fees (3)</td>
<td>$228,491</td>
<td>$428,397</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All Other Fees (4)</td>
<td>$-</td>
<td>$-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Fees</td>
<td>$815,009</td>
<td>$986,952</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(1) Includes fees for services related to the annual audit of the consolidated financial statements, and reviews of our quarterly reports on Form 10-Q.

(2) Audit-related fees relate to opening balance sheet procedures in connection with the Company’s acquisition of Power Electronics, Inc.

(3) Includes fees for services related to tax compliance, tax advice and tax planning.

Pre-approval of Non-Audit Fees

The audit committee has adopted a policy that requires advance approval of all audit, audit-related, tax and other services performed by the independent auditor. The policy provides for pre-approval by the audit committee of specifically defined audit and non-audit related services. Unless the specific service has been previously pre-approved with respect to that year, the audit committee must approve the permitted service before the independent auditor is engaged to perform it. All of the fees listed above were approved in accordance with this policy. The audit committee has delegated to the chairman of the audit committee authority to approve permitted services where the fees for the engagement do not exceed $50,000 per project and $100,000 in the aggregate, provided that the chairman reports any decisions to the audit committee at its next scheduled meeting.
OTHER MATTERS

The board of directors have not received valid notice of any other matters that will be presented at the Annual Meeting other than those described in this Proxy Statement. If any other business is properly brought before the shareholders at the Annual Meeting, all proxies that have been properly submitted will be voted in respect thereof as the proxyholders deem advisable.

SHAREHOLDER PROPOSALS FOR FISCAL YEAR 2018 ANNUAL MEETING

To be included in the proxy statement relating to the fiscal year 2018 Annual Meeting of Shareholders, shareholders proposals must be received by our corporate secretary no later than 5:00 p.m. local time, January 24, 2018. In order to bring a matter before the fiscal year 2018 Annual Meeting of Shareholders that is not contained in the proxy statement, including the nomination of an individual for election as a director, a shareholder must comply with the advance notice provisions of our Bylaws. Our Bylaws require that we receive notice of the matter no earlier than March 12, 2018, and no later than April 11, 2018. You may contact our secretary to find out what specific information regarding the matter must be included with the advance notice.

VOTING SECURITIES

Shareholders of record on May 10, 2017, will be entitled to vote at the meeting. As of May 10, 2017, there were 26,041,352 shares of the Company’s common stock outstanding. Each share of common stock entitles the holder to one vote on each matter voted on at the meeting. An abstention will not be counted as voting for a matter, and, therefore, will have the same effect as a vote against the matter. Votes withheld, including broker non-votes, will not be counted as a vote either for or against the matter.

INCORPORATION BY REFERENCE

The Compensation Committee Report on Executive Compensation and the Audit Committee Report are not deemed filed with the SEC and shall not be deemed incorporated by reference into any prior or future filings that AZZ makes under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, or the Exchange Act, except to the extent that AZZ specifically incorporates this information by reference. In addition, the website addresses contained in this Proxy Statement are intended to provide inactive, textual references only. The information on these websites is not part of this Proxy Statement.

The financial statements and other information required by Item 13(a)(1)-(5) of Schedule 14A to be provided herein are incorporated by reference to AZZ’s Annual Report on Form 10-K.

WEBSITE ACCESS TO REPORTS AND OTHER INFORMATION

Our website is www.azz.com. We will provide, free of charge, a copy of any of our corporate documents listed above upon written request to our Corporate Secretary at One Museum Place, 3100 W. 7th Street, Suite 500, Fort Worth, Texas 76107.
Map and Driving Directions to AZZ Inc.

Instructions from Dallas/Fort Worth International Airport

1. Head toward South 22nd Ave. on West 32nd St. (183 ft)
2. Make a U-Turn onto West 32nd St. (0.1 miles)
3. Turn slightly right onto South Service Rd. (1.3 miles)
4. Take left ramp onto International Pkwy South (TX-97 - SPUR) toward TX-183/TX-360 (0.9 miles)
5. Take ramp onto TX-183 West (Airport Fwy) toward Ft. Worth (10.7 miles)
6. Continue on I-820 (0.7 miles)
7. Keep left onto TX-121 South toward Downtown Ft. Worth (7.1 miles)
8. Take the exit toward Downtown/Belknap St. onto East Belknap St. (1.7 miles)
9. Turn slightly left onto Energy Way (0.1 miles)
10. Turn slightly right onto Summit Ave. (301 ft)
11. Turn right onto West 7th St. (1.2 miles)
12. Arrive at West 7th St. (One Museum Place) Your destination is on the right.

Instructions from Downtown Fort Worth

1. Head toward West 6th St. on Taylor St. (124 ft)
2. Turn right onto West 6th St. (0.2 miles)
3. Turn slightly right onto West 7th St. (1.6 miles)
4. Arrive at West 7th St. (One Museum Place) Your destination is on the right.